Studies of Clarification of Final Beet Molasses using Charcoal

Mennat-Allah M.A.El-Geddawy , Omar M.B., Seleim M.A. and Elsyiad S.I.

Food Science and Technology Dept., Fac. of Agriculture, Assuit University, Egypt

Abstract:

Beet sugar molasses studied in this investigation were kindly provided from Delta Sugar Factory, Kafr El-sheikh governorate , Egypt. During the 2011 working season. Samples of the molasses were analyzed for the following : Brix , sugar , purity , non-sugar , ash , organic non-sugar , as well as relations between analytical values characterizing beet molasses . Molasses solutions of different concentrations were clarified using charcoal. The obtained results illustrated the following conclusions:

1- Refractive index was greater accuracy in measuring the soluble solids content in molasses .

2- Approximate analysis of beet molasses were : Brix 80.20 - 81.61 , sucrose 48.36 - 49.56 , ash 12.11 - 12.35 and organic non-sugar 18.48 - 20.89 % .

3- Betaine was the most abundant nitrogenous compound found in molasses (3 – 4 %) .

4- The non-sucrose carbohydrate of molasses consist of invert sugar , usually less than 1% in molasses .

5- Other nitrogen free organic impurities in beet molasses were the acids (lactic , citric , malic , acetic and others) .

6- Best results were obtained with solution of 300 gm of beet molasses per liter and 10% of activated carbon (charcoal per 100 gm of beet molasses) .

Received on: 12 /4/ 2013 **Accepted for publication on:** 14/4/2013

Referees: Prof. Wafek S. M. Ragab Prof. Mohamed N. A. Elrefi

Introduction:

It is hard to remove the impurities from beet sugar molasses such as ash so that the purity of molasses is very low . Ash ratio the suspended matter contained and due to the nature of beet molasses such as mud, sand etc. It is necessary to remove the suspended solids so several methods have been applied publication of both former researchers demonstrated . When

molasses was diluted to a suitable concentration followed by centrifugation , a product of high purity of lower ash content obtained and glucose – ash ratio was increase (wayne, 1986) .

The economical importance of molasses due to that it was used in backing industries and in animal feed industries . Molasses is the final syrup spun off after repeated crystallization in the extraction of sucrose (Douglas and Glenn 1982) . It is so low in purity that further crystallization from it is impractical. It is therefore discarded from the sugar end , carrying with it all of the non-sucrose of the beet not eliminated by the juice purification process .The amount of molasses produced depended upon the impurities left in the juice after purification and upon the degree of exhaustion of final syrup .

There are some attempts should be made to prepare clarified solution of molasses to be used in food industries and sucrose recovery from beet sugar molasses to be used in refined sugar production (Po-wah 1982). Especially sucrose, invert sugars and other as well . The cheap price of molasses will justify expenses of clarification for edible consumption . This study aimed to evaluate the gross chemical composition of beet molasses . Such analysis will furnish enough data to set up standard of identification and general specification to be used in food industries and an attempt to prepare clarified solutions of molasses using activated carbon.

Materials and methods:

1- Materials :

Beet sugar molasses studied in this investigation were obtained from Delta Sugar Factory, Kafr El-Sheikh, governorate, Egypt during 2011 working season.

Clarification of beet sugar molasses

Beet molasses samples were mixed well , and then 300,400 and 500 gm of mixed molasses were diluted to liter with distilled water (w/ v). Activated carbon (charcoal) at 6, 8 and 10% were blended with diluted samples . The mixture was heat up to 75º c for 1 hr , coagulated protein and plant pigment causing to float to the surface and form a scum on top of the flask . This layer is skimmed off by hand , according to Hurst (1985) and Siva Subramanian and Pia (1994) , the mixture was left to cool ti a room temperature , the treated samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 400 r.p.m. . The supernatant was followed using a filter aid .

2- Methods :

2.1 Physical analysis

2.1.1 Total soluble solids (T.S.S.)

The total soluble solids in molasses were determined by two methods , hydrometer and refractometer .

- Hydrometer : A diluted solution of molasses 1:6 (molasses: distilled water) was used because it gave the most accurate results . A "Brix" Hydrometer

was applied according to the method described by Plews (1970). Then multiplied by gives the hydrometer solids in the undiluted molasses .

- Refractometer : using double dilution method . About two grams of molasses were diluted with equal volume of distilled water and thoroughly mixed at 20 ºC as described by Plews (1970).

2.1.2 Specific gravity :

Specific gravity was determined according to A.O.A.C (1995) at 20 ºC

2.1.3 PH value

Samples of molasses should be diluted to 60 BX (77-19 gm of original molasses . 100 ml of hot distilled water) , and must be cooled to room temperature before pH measurement , by a Beckman pH meter was carried out according to Olbrich (1963a) .

2.2 Chemical analysis :

2.2.1 Moisture content and total solids :

Total solids (T.S) were estimated by the drying method under vacuum at a temperature of 70 ºC and a pressure of 50 mm for 3 h . The determination was carried out according to the method recommended by A.O.A.C (1995) .

Moisture %=100-total solids %

2.2.2 Total nitrogen content :

Total nitrogen content was determined by the MicroKejedahl method as described in A.O.A.C (1995) .

2.2.3 Minerals content of molasses :

Sodium, potassium and calcium content were determined by "Carl Zeiss" flame photometer applying the method explained by Jackson (1958)and Mathur (1981).

Ash content :

The method described by A.O.A.C (1995) was used . Ash determination was carried out at 550 ºC with 2 gm of samples .

- Reducing sugars was determined by lane & Eynon method as described in A.O.A.C (1995).

- Metalic ions was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry using a Pye UniCam sp 9-8—instrument .

- Sugars were determined by HPLC using an optilab 5931 liquid chromotograph (Tecator AB, H□gan□s , Sweden) provided with a refractometric detector .

- Organic acids from 30 g of final molasses were distilled previously with steam . The distillate was adjusted to pH 2 and injected directly into the column .

- Amino acids were estimated using an automatic amino-acid analyzer (Hitachi KL A-5) by ion exchange chromatography .

- Purity :

Purity was calculated by the following equation:-

$$P = \frac{sucrose\%}{T.S.\%} \times 100$$

Result and Discussion:

Sugar beet molasses can be divided into water and dry matter .The later subdivided into sugar and non-sugar constituents. Finally, non-sugars further sub-divided as shown in Table, 1 :-

Table (1) : Non-sugar component in beet molasses :

Non-sugars		
Inorganic	**N-containing non-sugars**	**N-free non-sugar**
Ash	Total nitrogen	Lactic acid
K	Crude protein	Citric acid
Ca	Betaine	Glycolic acid
Mg	Neucleic acid components	Formic acid
Na	Amino acids sugar complex	Acetic acid
Trace elements		Propionic acid
		Valeric acid
		Butyric acid

In addition to those mentioned in the Table, 1 , there are many other substances which have been found in molasses and reported by several workers (Stark and *et. al*. 1959, Po-wah 1982 and .Barker 1986)

Final molasses is composed of organic and inorganic matter. About 49% sugar beet molasses are sucrose and about 12% or more were inorganic matter (potassium , sodium and calcium salts) and considered in molasses forming . The analysis of molasses , and particularly of sugars in it , may vary considerably depending on the variety of sugar beet , soil , climate , period of crop , efficiency of crystallizes etc . Its composition would make it suitable for human consumption provided. It is manipulated in such a way to clarify free from foreign matter and adjust the concentration of some of its components . Such analysis would be of value in prescribing specifications for local molasses to be used in bases of industrial and commercial utilization (Calik *et al*. 2001). The results in Table ,2 illustrated the average of composition of beet molasses.

Table (2): composition of beet molasses :

Replicate	Brix	Sugars %	Purity %	Non-sugars %	Ash %	%Organic non -sugar
1	81.60	48.36	59.26	33.24	12.35	20.89
2	81.00	49.00	60.49	32.00	12.12	19.88
3	81.40	48.88	60.05	32.52	12.04	20.48
4	81.00	48.76	60.20	32.24	12.09	20.15
5	80.60	48.88	60.65	31.72	12.11	19.61
6	80.80	49.12	60.69	31.77	12.20	19.57
7	80.80	49.56	61.33	31.25	12.25	18.99
8	80.20	49.44	61.65	30.76	12.28	18.48
Average	80.93	49.00	60.55	31.94	12.18	19.76

The results of chemical composition of sugar beet molasses was illustrated in Table ,2 and indicate that sucrose content was 49% , purity 60.55 % , non-sugar 31.94 , ash 12.18 and organic non-sugar 19.76% . These data were compa-

rable with those reported by many authors (Raiakylà 1982 , Barker 1986, and Alcalde *at al*. 2006) .The relations between analytical values characterizing beet molasses are shown in Table, 3 .

Table (3) :- calculation of the composition of beet molasses :

Given	T.S	S	Q	H	A
T.S, S	-	-	$\frac{100 \times S}{T.S}$ $\frac{100 \times 49}{80.93}$ = 60.55	$\frac{S}{100-TS}$ $\frac{49}{100-80.43}$ = 2.57	$\frac{TS-S}{100-TS}$ $\frac{80.39-49.0}{100-80.93}$
TS, Q	-	0.01TS× Q 0.01 × 80.93 × 60.55 = 49.(	-	$\frac{0.01 \times TS \times Q}{100-TS}$ =	$\frac{TS}{100} \times \frac{100-Q}{100-TS}$ 0.8693 × 2.069 = 1.67
TS, H	-	H(100-TS) =2.57× 19.07 = 49.00	$H\frac{(100-TS)}{TS}$ = 2.57 × 23.6 = 60.55	-	$\frac{TS}{100-TS} - H$ 4.24-2.27=1.67
TS, A	-	$TS(HA) - 100A$ 216.08-167=49.00	$100\left(A - H - \frac{100}{TS}\right.$ =100× 0.6062 =60.62	$\frac{TS}{100-TS} - A$ $\frac{80.93}{100-80.93} - 1.$ =2.57	-
S,Q	$\frac{100\,S}{Q}$ $\frac{100 \times 49}{60.55}$ = 80.93	-	-	$\frac{S}{100} \times \frac{Q}{Q-S}$ 0.49 × $\frac{60.55}{60.55-49}$ = 2.57	$\frac{S}{100} \times \frac{100-Q}{Q-S}$ 0.49× $\frac{139.45}{11.55}$ =1.67
S,H	$100 - \frac{H}{S}$ =$\frac{100\times49}{60.55}$ =80.93	-	$\frac{100S \times H}{100H-S}$ $\frac{100\times49\times2.57}{100\times2.57-49}$=60.54	-	$\frac{100H}{S} - H - 1$ =$\frac{257}{49}$-2.57-1=1.67
S,A	$\frac{100A+S}{A+1}$ $\frac{167+49}{1.67+1}$ = 80.9	-	100S$\frac{A+1}{100A+S}$ =4900$\frac{2.67}{1.67+49}$=60.57	$S \times \frac{A+1}{100-S}$ =49 × $\frac{2.67}{51}$ = 2.57	-
Q,H	$\frac{H \times 100}{0.010+H}$ =2.57 × 31.49 = 80.93	$H\frac{Q}{0.01Q+H}$ = 2.57 × 19.062 = 49.00	-	-	-
Q,A	$A \times \frac{100}{A+1-0.01}$ =2.67 × 48.438 = 80.89	$A \times \frac{Q}{A+1-Q}$ = 1.67 × 29.324 = 48.98	-	$A \times \frac{Q}{100-Q}$ = 1.67 × 1.535 = 2	-
H,A	$100 - \frac{A+H}{A+H+1}$ =100-$\frac{4.24}{5.24}$=80.92	100$\frac{H}{A+H+1}$ = 100 × 0.4905 = 49.05	$100 \times \frac{H}{A+H}$ =100 × 0.6061 = 60.61	-	-

Where :

S=sugar% $H=^{Sugar}/_{Water}$

NS=Non-sugar% *A=soluble non-sugar* $(^{Ns}/_{W})$

W=Water *Q=Purity* $100\ ^{S}/_{TS}$

TS=Total solids %

Chemical and physical characteristics of beet sugar molasses

- Total solids :

The soluble content of sugar beet molasses were measured by the refractometer and hydrometer , as well as by drying method under vacuum as indicated in Table, 4 . The results showed that the soluble solids of molasses measured by hydrometer ranged between 91.5 to 95.0 degree Brix with an average of 92.91 degree Brix . The soluble solids of molasses measured by refractometer ranged from 87.5 to 90.5 with an average of 88.61 % . The relatively higher values for soluble solids recorded by the hydrometer method could be attributed to several the factors interfering this particular method . Most important of such factors is the contraction phenomenon takes place upon diluting molasses . The relatively large content of non-sucrose component of different nature as well as the relatively large amount of colloidal and foams presents in the matter under test . Thus , it seems that refractometer is of greater accuracy in measuring the soluble solids content of molasses. But the soluble solids of molasses measured by dry substances ranged from 77-53 to 82.9% with an average of 80.37 . The refractometer method was demonstrated to be of practical utility is sugar housework . It gives an accurate measure of soluble solids in almost all manufacturing and refinery products (Mathur, 1981 and Barker, 1986).

Table (4) : Total solids content of beet molasses by different methods

Total soluble solids		**Total solids**
Brix (degree)	**(%)Refractometer**	**(%) Drying**
91.8	88.0	79.95
91.8	87.5	77.53
91.5	86.0	80.10
92.9	89.0	81.00
95.0	90.5	82.09
94.5	88.7	81.50
Mean 92.91	**88.61**	**81.50**

One difficulty in this method is the effect of dispersion caused by the deep colour of molasses . When diluted samples are studied, the effect of the phenomenon of contraction in encountered, resulting in relatively high results . These dilution help to overcome such difficulty. The most probably of the changes in volume and the presence of relatively large amount of suspended method which interfere with the adjustment of the borderline in the refractometer according to the results of Mohamed *et.al* (1985), and Mohamed (1966). Also most of inorganic non-sugars are higher density than the sugars . The hydrometer

method should be used with great caustion because the results are considerably affected by such factor that influence in the nature and amount of non-sugars in molasses. These factors include the variety of beet, agricultural practices, method of clarification , means of storage and probably other (Browne and Zerben , 1955 and John Wiley and Sons ,1959) .

In general , the results obtained in Table, 4 appeared that the percentage of total soluble solids % determined by refractometer was higher than the total solids content determined by drying method under vacuum . This could be attributed to the loss in certain volatile organic components , as well as bicarbonate even at the temperature used , also , some of inverted sugar such as fructose are liable to decompose (Mohamed , 1977) . The increase in hydrometer reading value due to the relatively large amount of colloidal and foams present in the molasses (Mohamed *et. al* , 1985) . Relationship between hydrometer , refractometer and drying method revealed that the salts present in low-purity products increase the specific gravity and their effect the Brix by hydrometer. Thus , it seems that refractometer is greater accuracy in measuring the soluble solids content of molasses (Mohamed, 1966) . Hence , the results by refractometers are closer to true dry substance than that obtained by hydrometer . Refractometer measurement is usually intermediate between value of Brix and dry substances .

Moisture content :

The results in Table, 5 revealed that moisture content of molasses ranged from 17.91 to 22.17 % with a mean value 19.63 % . This is to be expected since there were reversibly relationship between the moisture content and total solids (T.S) content. These results are agree with treatments were reported by Mohamed (1966) and Barker (1975) .

Table (5) : Moisture content and specific gravity of beet molasses

Replicate	Moisture(%)	Specific gravity
1	20.05	1.5010
2	22.17	1.4964
3	19.90	1.5010
4	19.00	1.5096
5	17.91	1.5227
6	18.50	1.5070
Mean	19.63	1.5063

- **Specific gravity :**

Also, the results in Table ,5 indicated that specific gravity of sugar beet molasses was ranged from 1.4964 to 1.5227 with an average 1.5063 . On the other hand , specific gravity of American Cane blackstrap was reported from around 1.390 to about 1.44 at 20 ºc (Olbrich ,1963) . These results are in the same trend with those reported by Mohamed (1966) , who indicated that specific gravity of molasses ranged from 1.4812 to 1.5225 with an average 1.5014 g/cm^3 at $20/20°C$. He added that , the difference in specific gravity followed as would be presumed the changes in the total solids content .

- **Contents of Nitrogen-containing organic compounds :**

The results illustrated in Table, 6 showed that contents of nitrogen – containing non-sugars . The results indicated that the total nitrogen ranged from 8-12% with an average of 10% ; crude protein 7-12% , betaine 3-4% , glutamic acid , pyrrolidon – amino acids; carboxylic acids , peptides foam 2-3% , neucleic acides components 2-3% and amino acid sugar complexes 0.5-1.5% . This is in good accordance with those concluded by Stark *et al*. (1959) , and Barker (1986). They reported that nitrogenous substances such as amino acid could react with reducing sugar and form brownish compounds , which affected the beet sugar molasses colour during the processing . So removal of the nitrogenous compounds from the beet sugar molasses represent some importance . Betaine is the most abundant nitrogenous compound found in molasses , ranging from 3-4% , increasing some what toward the end of the campaigns . The next most abundant nitrogen compound is glutamic acid , pyrrolidon , Amino acids , peptides .

Table (6) : Content of Nitrogen-containing organic components:

Total N-containing compounds	8-12%
Crude protein	7-12%
Betaine	3-4%
Glutamic acid –pyrrolidon – Amino acids – carboxylic acids – peptides	2-3%
Nucleic acid components	2-3%
Amino acid sugar complex	0.5-1.5%

- Inorganic non-sugar :

The ash was fractionated into individual ions , and the results are shown in Table ,7 .

Table (7): Mineral , trace elements and inorganic anion in beet molasses

Minerals and trace element	**Content of inorganic anion**		
Potassium	3.6%	Chlorides	1.2
Calcium	0.6%	Sulfure	1.0
Magnesium	0.11%	Nitrate	0.25
Sodium	1.4%	Nitrite	120 mg/kg
Trace element	40 mg/kg		

The results indicated in Table, 7 showed that more than 90% of minerals is composed of potassium and sodium from the results . It noticed that the molasses contained high amount of potassium , calcium and sodium. On the other hand , Olbrich (1963 a) and Barnes (1964) mentioned that potassium content of black syrup were approach from 2.60 to 5.00% , while final beet molasses content from 3.77 to 4.19% . However , Mohamed etal. (1985) found that average content of potassium cation in beet molasses was 1.8% , also they mentioned that concentration of sodium in beet molasses was 0.7% . The high potassium content may be attributed to the concentration of beet juice-known to be rich in potash – several folds during sugar production . The increment in calcium content may be due to high concentration of molasses as well as to the treatment of the juice with calcium salts in the clarification process .

However , the markedly large content of potash in molasses necessitates its dilution before direct edible uses (Mathur, 1981). The data recorded in Table ,7 would lead to the following conclusion :-

1- The average of K,Ca and Na were 3-6 , 0.8 and 1.4% respectively .

2- The crystallization of sucrose affected by the high content of potassium .

- Sugar content in beet molasses :

The sucrose and reducing sugars content , as well as unfermentables are shown in Table, 8. The sucrose content of molasses was 48% , this value is considerably higher than the corresponding value recorder for molasses produced in other sugars . Binkly and Wolfrom (1953) , found that sucrose ranged from 25.3 to 44.5% with an average of 33.8% and reducing sugars ranged from 4.7 to 34.9% In general , the results obtained lead to the following conclusion :

1- Sucrose content with an average 48% .

2- The sucrose content of beet molasses depend on the original sucrose content of sugar beet used as well as the condition of sugar production in the factory .

3- The reducing sugars content of beet molasses was an average 2% .

4- The total sugars content of molasses was an average of 52% .

Table (8): Sugar content in beet molasses , all results in % beet molasses

Sugars	%	Unfermentable	%
Sucrose	48	Gums-Starch-Levans	1
Glucose	0.4	Dextrans-Cellulase	3
Fructose	0.6	Waxes-Vanillin-Lignins	0.2
Ketoses	0.15	Hexitols,myo-Insitol,Mannitol,polymers	0.2
Raffinose	1.25		
Other oligo saccharides	1		
Galactinol	0.2		
Reducing sugar derivatives	1		

- pH and titratable acidity :

The pH and titratable acidity of molasses shown in Table, 9 . The titratable acidity was presented as acetic acid . The titratable acidity has mean value of 1.456 % . Barnes (1964) and Mohamed (1966) , they found that the acidity of final beet molasses is derived from the organic acids present in the juice and the acids formed during process . Payne (1960) and Martin (1960) found that the organic acids are always represent significant proportion of the total non-sugars of sugar juice , and are responsible for most of the titratable acidity of the juice . Most of organic acid is concentrated in sugar beet molasses .

The pH of molasses was ranged from 5.30 to 5.60 . Honig (1963),Moros (1963) and Olbrich (1963 b) illustrated the pH value of beet molasses was approximately in the range from 5.5-6.0 .

Table (9) : pH and titratable acidity of molasses :

Sample	pH	T.A %
1	5.42	1.157
2	5.52	1.01
3	5.49	1.01
4	5.30	0.84
5	5.60	2.57
6	5.55	2.15
Mean	**5.48**	**1.456**

Data presented in Tables, 10 and 11 showed that activated carbon removed large part of the polymeric caramels , alkaline degradation products and melanoidins . It is due to the formation of a mild links between the colorant amino groups, and carbonyl groups . The clarification of molasses was found to be quite difficult and tedious it was of its high viscosity . Thus, clarification was done on water diluted molasses, at rate of 300 , 400 and 500 gm per liter . Higher concentrations showed similar difficulties as those encountered upon using the undiluted molasses, it was heating the diluted molasses at 75ºc for 1 hr , and cooling at room temperature . Overnight after adding amount of charcoal . The results showed that in almost all cases , the clarified solutions obtained were 80% in volume of the original solution used . The greatest drop in volume , however was observed when the decolorization efficiency of charcoal decreased as the concentration of molasses increased in the solution , However , the increase in the amount of charcoal used in any particular dilution resulted in better decolorization . The best economical results in this respeet were obtained upon charcoal at the rate of 10% of the wight molasses (Table 11) . Data illustrated in Table ,10 showed that clarification slightly reduced the sucrose content concentration in molasses dilutions . The drop was more pronounced at the lower dilution of 500 gm per liter . The increase in the amount of charcoal used did not seem to be very effective in this respeet . Besides at 300 gm molasses □ liter , sucrose contents , were 47.1% , 46.7% and 44.7% at 6,8 and 10% charcoal respeetively .

As found in Table,10 it could be stated that , in the first dilute , (300 gm □ liter) clarification slightly reduced the reducing sugars than other low dilution (400 and 500 gm / liter) and similar to that observed in sucrose .

Table (10): Effect of charcoal on sugar content of diluted beet molasses

	Original molasses	Gram molasses / liter								
		300			400			500		
% Charcoal	0	6	8	10	6	8	10	6	8	10
Volume (cm^3)	100	810	800	796	750	745	745	680	670	670
%Sucrose	48	47.1	46.7	44.7	36.03	35.24	32.93	33.83	32.06	31.13
%Red. Sugars	1.3	1.20	1.20	0.96	1.04	0.98	0.91	1.17	1.01	0.87

%Raffinose	1.47	1.41	1.32	1.22	1.44	1.38	1.30	1.41	1.36	1.31

Form data tabulated in the Table ,11 it could be the percentage of total soluble solids diminished as the amount of charcoal used it was increased due to the adsorption phenomenon of charcoal . The drop in soluble solids , however , becomes larger as the concentration of molasses increased as reported by Kumar *et. al* (1994) .

Data presented in Table ,11 showed that the clarification of diluted molasses results in a considerable demineralization to the ash content . The best clarification was obtained with diluted molasses (300 gm / liter) with 10% charcoal.

Table (11): Effect of charcoal on Brix , nonsugars of color of deluted beet molasses

	Original molasses	Gram molasses / liter								
		300			400			500		
%Charcoal	0	6	8	10	6	8	10	6	8	10
Brix	88.41	65.80	64.23	60.56	63.57	61.64	59.61	59.82	58.90	57.52
%Nonsugars	40.41	18.70	17.53	15.86	27.54	26.40	26.62	25.99	25.84	26.39
%Ash	11.45	4.66	4.26	3.04	4.71	4.28	4.10	4.29	4.02	4.97
%Organic acids	28.96	14.04	13.27	12.82	22.83	22.12	22.52	21.70	22.80	23.42
Color	32750	3680	3680	3210	4650	4480	4320	4660	4445	4320

The results obtained in this investigation would generally lead to the following conclusions :

1- The soluble solids content of molasses determined by hydrometer ranged from 91.5 to 95.0 degree Brix with a mean value of 92.91 degree Brix .

2- Refractometer determinations should soluble solids to range 87.5 to 90.5 % with an average of 88.61 % .

3- Specific gravity were ranged from 1.4964 to 1.5227 with an average of 1.5063 .

4- Dry substance showed the soluble solids to range 77.53 to 82-90% with an average of 80.37%

5- Refractometer is usually intermediate between Brix and dry substance .

6- (300 gm / liter) with 10% charcoal was the best clarification obtained with diluted molasses.

Reference:

Alcalde M., Plou F.J., and Ballesteros A., (2006). Beet sugar syrup and molasses as low- cost feed stock for the enzymatic production of fructo-oligo saccharides . J. of Agric. and food Chem.pp 2964-2968.

A.O. A. C (1995) . Association Official Analytical Chemists . Official Methods of Analysis of the 16 ED . A .O . A . C International , Washington , D. C, U.S.A .

Barker B.P. , (1986). Analysis of molasses . United Molasses Company .

Barker B,P.,(1975).Composition, properties and uses of molasses and related products . United molasses. Trading co. Ltd., London , 80.

Barnes A.C.,(1964). The sugar, world crops Books: Inter science publisher I.N.C. New York .

Beadet L. , Pellet H. , and Saillard Ch.,(1894). De la fabrication du sucre : de betterave et de canne . J. of fritsh , editeur .pp 332-337.

Binkley N,W,and Wolfrom M.L., (1953). Chromatographic fractionation of cane blackstrap molasses and of its fermentation residue . Food Sci. Abst. 25.

Browne , C.A. and Zerban F.W ., (1955). Physical and chemical Method of sugar Analysis. John Wily and Sons , I.N.C., New York.

Calik G.,Berk M.,Boyaci F.G., Calik P.,Takac S., and Tuncer H., (2001). Pretreatment processes of molasses for the utilization in fermentation processes . Engineering and Manufacturing Biotechnology , pp 21-28 .

Douglas M.C., and Glenn D.C., (1982) . Foods and food production encyclopedia . Van nostrand Reinhold . P1941.

Honig P.,(1963) . Principles of sugar technology (second edition , ed. By Honig , P.Vol . Chap. 15, Pp 582-653) . Elsevier publishing co. New York .

Hurts W.C. , (1982) . Making syrup for profit . Bulletin . Cooperative Extension service . University of Georgia (USA)., No . 868 .

Jackson M . L . ,(1958) . Soil chemical analysis . London – constable .

Kumer R. , Jain V.K. , Sajia A.S. and Sanyal P. ,(1994) . Viscosity , reducing agents for sugars industry part 2 : Factory trials . All india seminar on process improvement in sugar and allied industry by use of various charcoal , 4 May , pp100-103 .

Martin J. ,(1960). Principles of sugar technology (second edition , ed . By Honig , P. Vol.1 Chap.15 , pp. 582-653) . Elsevier publishing co.

Mathur R. B . C., (1981). Handbook of cane sugar technology . Oxford I. B. H. publishing co.

Mohamed M.A., (1966). Study on physical and chemical properties of sugar cane molasses . M. Sc. Thesis , Fac. Agri. Assuit University , Egypt .

Mohamed Y. S ., El-shafie M.M., Abd El-shafie F.E., Abd El Latif F. and , Soliman E.M.A., (1985) . Studies on cane and molasses , Part 1 – Comparative chemical molasses . Minia , J. Agri. Res. Dev, 17,4,pp1561-1573.

Mohamed J., (1977). Changes in sugar component of cane during growth of processing. Congress- South African Sugar Technologist Association . 65,pp 138-141 .

Moros R., (1963) . Principles sugar technology (second Edition , Edit . By Honig , P. Vol. 111 Chap. 8 , pp. 373-446) Elsevier publishing Co. New York .

Olbrich H. ,(1963a) . The molasses . Fermentation Technologist , Institute für Zuckerindustrie , Berline (Germany).

- Olbrich H.,(1963b) . Principles sugar technology (second edition , Ed . by Honig, P.Vol.III, Chap.13,pp.501-534) Elsevier Publishing Co., New York .

Plew R.W.,(1970) Analytical methods used in sugar refining .Elsevier publishing .co.limited , New York .

Payne I.A., (1960). Principles of sugar technology (second edition, Ed. By Honig , p.Vol. III,Chap.13, pp.501-534) Elsevier Publishing Co. , New York .

Po-wah W.,(1982). The production of high fructose syrup from beet molasses . M.Sc., Depart.food Sci.pp 3, 12-18 .

Raiakylà E., and Paloposki M., (1982). Determination of sugars (and betaine) in molasses by high performance liquid chromatography: comparison of the results with those obtained by classical method lane-eynone method . J. of chromatography A . 282,pp595-602.

Silin P.M., and Silina N.P.,(1977) . Chemical control in sugar technology . Food Technol., Pub. USSR, pp 120-126.

Sivia Subramanian, C.G. and Pia J.S. , (1994) . Effect of heat treatment on the quality of sugars cane juice . Indian food packer ,Vol. 48 No. 2 , pp 51-54 .

Stark J.B., Goodban A.F., and Mccready R.M. ,(1959). Partial composition of sugar beet molasses . J. of the A.S.S.B.T., Vol.X, No.7.

Wayne I.I . (1986) . Utilization of molasses . Sugar Journal , Vol . 48 No.8, pp 23-27 .

دراسات في ترويق مولاس البنجر بإستخدام الفحم

منة الله محمد الأنور الجداوي ، محمد بهاء الدين عمر ، ماجدة محمد سليم ، سامي ابراهيم الصياد

قسم علوم وتكنولوجيا الأغذية – كلية الزراعة – جامعة أسيوط

الملخص:

تم الحصول على مولاس سكر البنجر المستخدم في هذه الدراسة من مصنع الدلتا للسكر، محافظة كفر الشيخ ، مصر خلال موسم تشغيل٢٠١١ ، وقد تم إجراء التحليلات لعينات المولاس :البركس، و%السكر، والنقاوة، المواد الغير سكرية العضوية، الرماد ،المواد غير السكرية، وكذلك إيجاد العلاقات بين القيم التي تميز تحليلات مولاس البنجر. كذلك تم معاملة تركيزات مختلفة من المولاس بواسطة الفحم المنشط .

وقد تم الحصول على الاستنتاجات التالية :-

١- يعتبر الرفراكتوميتر من اهم الأجهزة من حيث الدقة في قياس محتوى المواد الصلبة الذائبة في مولاس البنجر.

٢- التحليل التقريبي لمولاس البنجر هو :بركس 80.20 - 81.61، والسكروز 48.36- 49.56، الرماد 12.11- 12.35، والمواد العضوية الغير السكرية48. 18- 20.89 %.

٣- البيتان هو المركب الأكثر وفرة في المواد النيتروجينية و قد وجد بنسبة 4 – 3 % .

٤- المواد الكربوهيدراتيه غير السكروز تتكون من سكريات محولة عادة أقل من١% في المولاس.

٥- المواد غير النيتروجينية الأخرى والعضوية الحرة في مولاس البنجر هي الأحماض العضوية مثل حامض الستريك ، اللاكتيك ، الماليك، الخليك وغيرها.

٦- تم الحصول على احسن نتائج بإستخدام محلول مكون من٣٠٠ جرام مولاس مخفف إلى لتر ومعاملته بواسطة ١٠% فحم منشط .