# Productive Adaptability of Naked Neck Chicken under Subtropical Conditions A-Meat Production Mostafa .G.Abd El-Fattah M., Abd El-Rahman A. and Abdelnabi M.

Animal and Poultry Science Dept., Assiut Univ, Assiut, Egypt 71526

Abstract: Crossbreeding is considered a simple approach to improve poultry productivity depending on heterosis. As such, this experiment was conducted to use this phenomenon to increase meat yield in the local strains through crossing with broiler chicken. Sharkasi chicken (Nana) and Cobb parents (CC) were used as source for fertilized eggs required in this study. All possible mating combinations between the two strains were made to produce offspring (CC, NaNa, Nana, and nana); crosses (NaC and naC) and reciprocal crosses (CNa and Cna). The obtained chicks were wing banded, brooded in a floor pens under standard environmental conditions and fed *ad libitum* during the experimental period. Body weight as well as feed consumption was recorded at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 weeks of age to evaluate growth performance. At the end of the experiment (8 weeks), represented birds from each genotype were taken and slaughtered for carcass measurements.

The results showed that the effect of genotypes on body weight was highly significant (p<0.01). At 8 weeks of age, the Cobb (CC) purebred had the heaviest body weight (1775.7 g)

followed by the crosses NaC (1232.1 g), naC (1229.3 g), CNa (1095.3 g) and Cna (1021.4 g) then the other genotypes; Nana (680.9 g), nana (667.5 g) and NaNa (758.9 g). Feed conversion was significantly (p<0.01) higher in the Cobb purebred (2.03) followed by their crosses NaC (2.21), naC (2.26), CNa (2.39) and Cna (2.45) then the genotypes; nana (3.05), Nana (3.20) and NaNa (3.08 g feed / g gain). The normal feathering nana genotype had significant lowest dressing percentage when compared with the other genotypes. The Cobb purebred, crossbred and reciprocal recorded the highest major, minor Pectorals muscle, thigh and drumstick muscles percentage compared with the other local genotypes.

**Key Words**: Naked Neck gene (Na), Meat production, Crossing.

## Introduction:

The productivity of domestic animals is a function of their genetic potential and their interaction with the environment. In Upper Egypt and especially in rural areas, native strains are adapted to stressful conditions, which include high temperature, diseases and inadequate diet. In

Received on: 25/8/2012Accepted for publication on: 10/12/2012Referees: Prof. Mohamed Abou-Elkasem, Prof. Mohamed M. Ahmed

this regard, the Shrakasi strain (Na) of chicken has proven to be one of the most resistant strains to heat stress compared with other local and exotic breeds (Somes 1988: Merat, 1990: Geraert et al. 1996; Deeb and Cahaner 1999 and Abd El- Rahman 2000). The effect of high temperature on growth performance is well documented in many species (Teeter et al. 1985). High temperature exerts an adverse effect on poultry productivity, especially in the hybrids with a high genetic potential (Geraert et al. 1996 and Gursu et al. 2004). On the other hand, it is well known that the naked neck gene (Na) is a single incomplete dominant autosomal gene responsible for feather loss in different areas in the body. consequently increase heat loss and improve heat resistance, (Somes, 1988; Washburn et al., 1992 and Abd EL-Rahman, 2000). Furthermore, this gene (Na) showed an economic importance in feed efficiency, growth performance, carcass composition and meat yield. Cahaner et al., (1993); Abd EL-Rahman, (1998), and Makled and Abd EL-Rahman, (2006) found that the naked neck broilers exhibited better growth rate and meat yield than the normal feathered birds in hot conditions. Ge-

netic improvement for heat tolerance and meat production may provide a low cost solution that is particularly attractive to developing countries with hot climates (Cahaner *et al.*, 1994).

The aim of this study was to increase meat yield in Sharkasi strain through crossing with parent stock of broiler chicken under prevailing conditions in Assiut governorate.

## Materials and Methods

This experiment was carried out at the poultry research Farm, Animal and Poultry Department, Assiut University in order to evaluate the presence of naked neck gene (Na) of Sharkasi chicken for meat production when crossed with broiler chicken.

**Experimental Birds:** Broilers Cobb parents (El-Wataneia company, Egypt), and Sharkasi local strains were used as source for fertilized eggs required in this study. Crossing between Cobb parents (CC) and Sharkasi (Nana) was accomplished to produce all possible genotype combinations according to the mating system in Table (1). At hatch, chicks were wing banded, brooded in a floor pens under standard environmental conditions and fed ad libitum during the experimental period, Table (2).

Table (1): Mating regimen between	Cobb and Sharkasi chicken
-----------------------------------	---------------------------

Parent (	Parent Genotype		Offenring		
Male	Female	- Offspring			
CC	СС		CC		
Nana	CC	NaC		naC	
CC	Nana	CNa		Cna	
Nana	Nana	NaNa	Nana	nana	
	a .				

Table (2): Analysis of experimental rations.

Calculated analysis of ration	Starter	Grower	Finisher
Crud protein (%)	22.08	19.9	17.57
Metabolizable energy (kcal/Kg)	3105.06	3166.69	3208.55
Crude fat (%)	5.5	5.68	5.86
Crude fiber (%)	3.21	2.99	2.9
Calcium (%)	0.97	0.89	0.8
Phosphorus (%)	0.47	0.43	0.38

Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 43(4) September (75-90)

**I-Growth measures: Body weight (BW).**The obtained chicks resulted from all genotypes were weighed individually to the nearest gram and recorded at hatch, then at two weeks intervals till 8 weeks of age.

Studied Traits

Absolute body weight gain (BWG). Absolute body weight gains (BWG, gram/chick/day) were calculated for the periods from 0-2, 2-4, 4-6 and 6-8 weeks of age.

BWG was calculated according to the following equation:

BWG = BW2 - BW1/P Where: BW1 is the weight at the beginning of the period.

 $BW_2$  is the weight at the end of that period. P is the period in days (14days).

**Feed consumption (FC).** Feed consumption (FC) for each genotype was determined biweekly per grams and calculated on the basis of gram (feed/chick/day).

**Feed to gain ratio (F: G Ratio).** Feed to gain ratio (F: G ratio) was calculated for the period from 0-2, 2-4, 4-6 and 4-8 weeks of age, according to the following equation:

F:G Ratio = FC/BWG Where: FC is estimated as

(g feed/chick/day), BWG is estimated as (g gain/chick/day).

**II-** Carcass measurements and traits. Slaughtering processing includes a series of interrelated steps in order to convert live birds into ready to cook carcass, cut up parts and/or various forms of meat products. At 8 weeks of age, a random sample of 40 growing birds from each genotype was taken to study carcass measurements traits. Birds were fasted for about 16 hours, weighed and slaughtered by cutting the jugular vein then they were left to bleed for 10 minutes. Each bird was weighted before and after slaughtering to calculate the blood weight by difference. Birds were plucked by hand after hot scalding and the weight of the feather was calculated also by the difference. The following measurements were recorded: Edible viscera weight (giblet = liver, heart and gizzard). finally the eviscerated carcasses were weighed and dissected into thigh, drumstick and breast muscles (minor and major). Weights of such organs were expressed relatively to live body weight.

Dressing percentage= (Eviscerated carcass weight + Giblets weight)/(Live body weight) X 100.

**III- Statistical Analysis:** Data were analyzed using general linear model (GLM) of SAS software (SAS Institute, 2009). Significant differences among genotypes means were separated using Duncan multiple range test (Duncan, 1955). The statistical model used in this experiment was as follows:-

 $Y_i = \mu + G_i + E_i$ . Where:  $Y_i = observation$ .  $\mu = the general population mean.$ 

Gi = Effect of genotype (i =1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8). Ei = random error.

#### **Results and Discussion:**

#### I- Growth performance.

Body weight: Growth is a complex biological phenomenon depends on many factors. Information regarding growth performance is very important especially for broiler production. Such these information are used to determine the time length, amount of feed consumption, total costs and the labor required for meat production. Growth, like any trait is affected by genetic make up and environmental factors. In this study, the results of body weight for Cobb (CC), local genotypes NaNa, Nana, nana and crosses (NaC, naC) and reciprocal crosses (CNa, Cna) during the growth period are presented in table (3). At hatch, the statistical analysis showed a highly significant differences (P<0.01) between genotypes. Meantime, it is obvious that the two genotypes NaC and naC had the highest body weight compared to the other groups. These results could be partially due to the differences in egg size and maternal effect that influence body weight, (Mahmoud et al., 1974a; Shalash, 1977). At 2

weeks of age, body weight of (CC) was heavier than other genotypes (Table 3). Body weight within genotypes ranged from (90.50 g) to (212.86 g) and there were highly significant (P<0.01) between genotypes (Table 3). At 4 weeks of age, body weight of (CC) was heavier than the other genotypes (Table 3) and body weight within genotypes ranged from (248.64 g) to (593.33 g). These differences in body weight were highly significant (P<0.01). At 6 weeks of age, body weight ranged of genotypes from (463.80 g) to (1193.49 g), and local genotypes NaNa, Nana and nana chicks had body weights had the lowest than other genotypes (Table 3). The (NaC). (naC), (CNa) and (Cna) chicks had an intermediate weight between both (CC) and (NaNa) chicks. Differences between all genotypes were highly significant (P<0.01) as in (Table 3).

With respect to body weight at 8 weeks of age, The local genotypes NaNa, nana and Nana had the lowest weight (658.65, 667.48 and 680.11 g) respectivelv. than Cobb broiler CC (1775.69 g), where their crosses were for NaC (1232.1 g), naC (1229.30 g), CNa (1095.3 g) and Cna (1021.44 g) . Differences between genotypes were highly significant (P<0.01). Ali et al., (2000) reported that the broiler chicken had the higher live body weight and body weight gain than Tanzanian local chicken. Tibin and Mohamed (1990) resignificant differences ported between the exotic breed (Lohman and Hybro) and indigenous chicken from hatch up to 10<sup>th</sup> weeks of age with exotic breed had the higher body weight and body weight gain compared indigenous chicken. the to Chhabrad and Sapra (1973) in comparative study between the exotic breeds (White Leghorn, Rhode Island Red and White Cornish) and the Indian native breeds (Naked neck, Assel and Bengal) found that the exotic breed were significantly ( $P \le 0.01$ ) better in body weight than the Indian native breeds. They also found that among pure breeds Assel excelled the body weight at all ages, weighted 709 g. at 12 weeks of age. The same results were found by Sharma et al., (1971) who reported that the Rhode Island Red chicken had a significantly higher body weight and body weight gain than the Indian native chicken Desi at 4, 8 and 12 weeks of age. The growth performance of exotic meat strains was better than native

chicken and this is due to the fact that they are genetically improved and selected for higher body weight. The native chickens are needed to be genetically improved.

The present result indicated that the presence of Na allele associated with higher body weight compared with normal feathering birds. Higher body weights for naked neck genotypes were also reported in literature (Galal and Fathi, 2001; Fathi et al., 2008). The increased performance of crossbreds due to the exploitation of heterosis is well established in poultry and other avian species (Saadey et al. 2008; Padhi 2010; Shit et al. 2010). The purebreds and crossbred offspring with a particular line as sire or dam showed similar body weight trends at all ages. The results indicated that Sharkasi (Na) excelled as male line with higher body weights with other breeds. Cobb (CC) was found to be better female lines.

Geno-	Age (week)				
type	0	2	4	6	8
СС	$35.93^{b}\pm0.2$	$212.86^{a} \pm 2.2$	593.33 <sup>a</sup>	$1193.49^{a} \pm 14.$	1775.69 <sup>a</sup> ±18.
CC .	8	7	±7.79	26	01
CNa	$31.45^{de} \pm 0.$	$139.08^{\circ} \pm 2.8$	416.33 <sup>c</sup> ±9.6	$748.37^{\circ} \pm 16.6$	$1095.25^{\circ} \pm 21.$
Cina	38	5	8	8	06
Cna	$33.27^{\circ}\pm0.3$	$126.72^{d}\pm 2.$	$358.61^{d} \pm 10.$	$686.10^{d} \pm 16.8$	$1021.44^{d} \pm 19.$
Cha	7	92	06	6	96
NaC	$38.09^{a}\pm0.2$	$155.20^{b} \pm 1.$	459.37 <sup>b</sup> ±6.1	827.47 <sup>b</sup> ±9.99	$1232.05^{b} \pm 11.$
NaC	3	80	2		65
naC	$37.76^{a}\pm0.2$	$155.50^{b} \pm 1.$	$481.49^{b}\pm6.5$	$840.74^{b} \pm 10.8$	1229.30 <sup>b</sup> ±12.
nac	4	88	4	9	85
NaNa	$31.99^{d} \pm 0.3$	$90.50^{d} \pm 2.7$	$248.64^{e} \pm 9.8$	$471.86^{e} \pm 16.5$	658.90 <sup>e</sup> ±20.5
Inaina	5	9	7	9	5
Nana	$30.52^{f}\pm0.3$	$91.31^{d} \pm 2.8$	$264.82^{e} \pm 10.$	$463.80^{e} \pm 18.1$	$680.11^{e} \pm 22.7$
Inalia	8	5	92	8	0
nono	$30.96^{fe} \pm 0.2$	$94.14^{d} \pm 1.7$	$255.78^{e} \pm 6.7$	475.33 <sup>e</sup> ±11.1	$667.48^{e} \pm 13.8$
nana	2	2	8	1	0

Table (3) Body weight ( $\overline{X}\pm S.E$ ) at different ages of the different genotypes

Abd El-Fattah et al. 2012

Prop	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01		
<sup>a-f</sup> Means within the same column with the same letters did not signifi-							

cantly differ.

#### **Body weight gain:**

Least square means of body weight gains for the different genotypes are presented in (Table 4). It was found that gain of broiler chicks Cobb (CC) significantly (p < 0.01) higher than that of other genotypes NaNa, Nana and nana , where their crosses and reciprocal had an intermediate gain from hatching time to 8 weeks of age. These differences may be attributed to both maternal effect and due to the fact that Cobb (CC) commercial broiler breeder genetically had higher body weight and produce heavier egg weight than local breeds NaNa. Nana and nana chickens. This result agreed with that mentioned by Mahmoud et al., (1974 a, b) and Shalash (1977).

From the present study, it could be concluded that body

weight gain of the two crosses NaC and naC and reciprocal crosses CNa and Cna was better than that of other genotypes NaNa, Nana and nana chickens, but it was lower than of the broiler breeder chickens. In the same time,  $\Im$ Nana x  $\Im$ CC broiler cross was better than the reciprocal cross at the different ages. The obtained result indicated that it could be possible to improve growth performance in Sharkasi chickens by crossing heterozygous naked neck males to the commercial broiler breeder hens. This crossbreeding program will lead to benefit the maternal effect than applying the opposite cross. Similar finding were mentioned in the previous studies of

Mahmoud *et al.* (1974 a, b).

different genotypes						
Geno-			Age (week)			
	0-2	2-4	4-6	6-8	Overall	
type	0-2	2-4	4-0	0-8	mean	
CC	$11.83^{a}\pm0.3$	$25.00^{a}\pm0.5$	$38.40^{a}\pm2.0$	$36.59^{a}\pm0.6$	$27.96^{a} \pm 0.5$	
	8	5	0	4	8	
CNa	$7.77^{b} \pm 0.15$	19.51 <sup>b</sup> ±0.2	$20.75^{\circ}\pm0.9$	$23.97^{bc} \pm 1.0$	$18.00^{\circ} \pm 0.3$	
Cina		5	8	9	1	
Cna	$7.56^{b} \pm 0.41$	$16.03^{\circ} \pm 2.2$	$20.76^{\circ} \pm 1.5$	$23.38^{bc} \pm 1.3$	$16.93^{\circ} \pm 1.0$	
Cha		0	3	8	2	
NaC	$7.79^{b} \pm 0.12$	$20.57^{b}\pm0.8$	$24.62^{b}\pm0.6$	26.67 <sup>b</sup> ±0.7	$19.91^{b} \pm 0.1$	
NaC		3	2	5	8	
naC	$7.86^{b} \pm 0.15$	$20.74^{b}\pm0.4$	$24.87^{b} \pm 1.0$	$25.53^{bc} \pm 0.6$	$19.75^{b}\pm0.2$	
nac		4	2	0	3	
NaNa	$4.22^{\circ}\pm0.09$	$8.86^{d} \pm 0.23$	$15.32^{d} \pm 1.0$	$14.02^{d} \pm 0.6$	$10.60^{d} \pm 0.1$	
Inaina			1	0	2	
Nana	$4.38^{\circ} \pm 0.08$	$10.78^{d} \pm 0.2$	$14.65^{d} \pm 0.3$	$14.10^{d} \pm 0.4$	$10.98^{d} \pm 0.1$	
Inalla		8	8	3	6	
nono	$4.26^{\circ} \pm 0.05$	$11.16^{\circ} \pm 0.5$	$12.69^{d} \pm 0.9$	$14.87^{d} \pm 0.9$	$10.74^{d}\pm0.1$	
nana		0	4	2	6	
Prop	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	

Table (4): Daily body weight gain ( $\overline{x}\pm S.E$ ) at different ages of the different genotypes

<sup>a-d</sup> Means within the same column with the same letters did not significantly different.

### <u>Feed consumption and feed</u> <u>conversion ratio (FCR):</u>

Least square means of feed consumption and feed conversion ratio for the different genotypes are presented in (Table 5 and 6).

The Cobb broiler birds had highly significantly (p<0.01) consumed more than the other genotypes from one day old up to 8 weeks of age. The increase in feed consumption of Cobb (CC) broiler and crossbred and reciprocal cross chicks may be attributed to the rapid growth or weight gain of the broiler chicks which appeared to be the major factor increasing feed consumption as Ellen *et al.*, (1973) concluded.

Table (6) presented the overall mean feed conversion for the genotypes during the experimental period. The feed conversion was significantly better (P<0.01) for the Cobb (CC) broiler than other genotypes. The better feed conversion for Cobb broiler may be due to the good genetic constitution for growth and feed conversion ratio, where

the crosses (NaC and naC) and reciprocal cross (CNa and Cna) had better feed conversion ratio compared to local genotypes (Nana, Nana and nana). These results may be attributed to heterotic effects.

In general, the higher growth rate with lower feed consumption and better feed efficiency of the naked neck broilers in most of the cases than normally feathered birds may be due to decreased feathering and thereby having thermo-regulatory effibetter ciency and increase heat tolerance. The reduction in feather mass prevents an excessive increase in body temperature caused by eating and digestion at high ambient temperature, which minimizing the negative effect of hot climate on feed consumption, growth and meat yield. The naked neck broilers by virtue of the more exposed skin are able to dissipate heat in a better way and thereby food intake is also more. Bordas et al. (1980), Fathi et al. (1993), Singh et al. (1996) and Yalcin et al. (1997b) reported similar findings.

	Age (week)						
Genotype	0-2	2-4	4-6	6-8	Overall		
	0-2	2-4	4-0	0-8	mean		
CC	$20.52^{a}\pm0.54$	$50.50^{a} \pm 1.82$	$73.19^{a} \pm 1.40$	$82.43^{a}\pm0.81$	$56.66^{a} \pm 0.47$		
CNa	$17.07^{\circ} \pm 0.53$	$38.74^{\circ} \pm 1.59$	$49.97^{\circ} \pm 1.50$	$53.74^{d} \pm 0.95$	$39.88^{\circ} \pm 0.60$		
Cna	$19.10^{b} \pm 0.48$	$41.96^{bc} \pm 1.06$	$54.62^{b} \pm 1.65$	$60.96^{\circ} \pm 0.37$	$44.16^{b} \pm 0.20$		
NaC	16.55 <sup>c</sup> ±0.31	$44.19^{b} \pm 0.55$	53.37 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.75	$60.64^{\circ}\pm0.66$	43.69 <sup>b</sup> ±0.33		
naC	$18.32^{b}\pm0.24$	$44.30^{b} \pm 0.59$	$53.52^{bc} \pm 1.13$	$63.93^{b} \pm 0.97$	$45.02^{b}\pm0.49$		
NaNa	$13.97^{d} \pm 0.16$	$28.51^{e}\pm0.64$	$42.32^{d} \pm 0.86$	$46.28^{\text{ef}} \pm 1.79$	32.77 <sup>e</sup> ±0.37		

Table (5): Daily feed consumption  $(\overline{X}\pm S.E)$  at different ages of the different genotypes

Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 43(4) September (75-90)

Nana	$14.32^{d} \pm 0.26$	$33.32^{d} \pm 1.21$	$38.55^{e} \pm 0.40$	$45.07^{f} \pm 0.39$	32.81 <sup>e</sup> ±0.30
nana	$14.47^{d} \pm 0.21$	$34.11^{d} \pm 1.21$	$42.78^{d} \pm 0.76$	$49.11^{e} \pm 0.74$	$35.12^{d} \pm 0.54$
Prop	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01

<sup>a-f</sup> Means within the same column with the same letters did not significantly different.

#### Abd El-Fattah et al. 2012

Genotype			Age (week)		
	0-2	2-4	4-6	6-8	Overall
	0-2	2-4	4-0	0-8	mean
CC	$1.74^{d} \pm 0.07$	$2.02^{d} \pm 0.09$	$1.93^{d} \pm 0.08$	$2.25^{\circ}\pm0.05$	$2.03^{e}\pm0.02$
CNa	$2.28^{bc} \pm 0.10$	$2.64^{b}\pm0.27$	$2.49^{cd} \pm 0.21$	$2.35^{\circ}\pm0.16$	$2.39^{bc} \pm 0.12$
Cna	$2.45^{b}\pm0.05$	$2.15^{\circ}\pm0.04$	$2.65^{bc} \pm 0.15$	$2.56^{\circ} \pm 0.11$	$2.45^{b}\pm0.04$
NaC	$2.10^{\circ}\pm0.03$	$2.13^{\circ}\pm0.04$	$2.18^{cd} \pm 0.09$	$2.38^{\circ}\pm0.05$	$2.21^{d}\pm0.02$
naC	$2.35^{b}\pm0.03$	$2.19^{\circ} \pm 0.07$	$2.18^{cd} \pm 0.05$	$2.41^{\circ}\pm0.05$	$2.26^{\circ} \pm 0.02$
NaNa	$3.31^{a}\pm0.05$	$3.22^{a}\pm0.15$	$2.80^{ab} \pm 0.21$	$3.30^{a}\pm0.11$	$3.08^{a}\pm0.02$
Nana	$3.36^{a}\pm0.07$	$2.99^{ab} \pm 0.06$	$3.08^{a}\pm0.20$	$3.06^{b} \pm 0.20$	$3.05^{a}\pm0.06$
nana	$3.31^{a}\pm0.07$	$3.17^{a}\pm0.10$	$2.93^{ab}\pm0.09$	$3.51^{a}\pm0.11$	$3.20^{a}\pm0.04$
Prop	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
a-d					

 Table (6): Effects of genotypes on feed conversion ratio (g feed / g gain)

<sup>a-d</sup> Means within the same column with the same letters did not significantly different.

**II-Carcass Measurements: Re**sults of the percentages and statistical analysis of the offal's and giblets are presented in Tables (7). The results showed no significant differences in blood percentage between genotypes. It is well known that the total blood volume represents about 10% from the body weight and approximately 3-5% bleed after killing the bird. In our study, no clear trend in blood percentage was obvious related to body weight and it ranged from 2.66 -3.18% in the nana and naC genotype, respectively. These differences in blood percentages may be due to the dissimilarity in bleeding time, cutting area and/or the consciousness of the animals during slaughtering time. Also, the higher blood proportion associated with presence of (C) gene in most of the genotypes in this experiment may be increased blood supply reached to organs and muscles to meet the higher growth rate in these strains

(Luger et al., 1998 and Raju et al., 2004).

The present results showed that the (nana) normal feathering had higher feather percentage compared to the other genotypes. where the differences between genotypes were highly significant (Table 7). The naked neck gene (Na) is a genetic mutant with approximately 40% reduced feather coverage when presents in homozygous form (NaNa) and approximately reduced 30% feathers when it exists in heterozygous form (Nana), Bordas et al., (1978). Likewise, Touchburn et al., (1980) suggested that the reduction feathering associated with Na gene increased bird's flexibility in regulating their body temperature (BT) at high ambient temperature. The main effect of naked neck gene is the reduction of the whole feather percentage especially in neck and breast areas by about 30-40% compared to the normal feathering chickens (Mérat, 1986 and Horst and Rauen, 1986).

The heart and liver play an important role in metabolic activity of poultry, Galal (2008). Table (7) showed that the total giblets percentages were 6.03, 5.66, 5.64, 5.44, 5.41, 5.36, 5.11 and 4.44 % for NaNa, Nana, nana, NaC, CNa, Cna, naC and CC broiler, respectively. Highly significant differences (P<0.01) in giblets percentages were found between all genotypes, (Table 7). From the obtained result, it was observed that Sharkasi and crossbred had higher heart, liver and gizzard percentage due to their higher biological activities than the other genotypes Abdellatif *et al.*, 1987. Also, Rajkumar *et al.*, (2010 a, b and 2011) reported that the high liver and heart percentage in the naked neck genotypes may be correlated with high metabolic rate in these strains.

Table (7): L.S. means  $\pm$  S.E Offal's, and giblets percentages of the different genotypes

	unitite	in genotypes	•			
Genotype	Blood (%)	Feather (%)	Heart (%)	Liver (%)	Gizzard (%)	Giblets (%)
CC	$2.96^{a} \pm 0.22$	$6.19^{bc} \pm 0.20$	$0.43^{d} \pm 0.01$	$2.15^{\circ}\pm0.06$	$1.86^{d} \pm 0.05$	$4.44^{d} \pm 0.11$
CNa	3.03 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21	5.79 <sup>c</sup> ±0.20	$0.45^{bcd} \pm 0.0$	$2.38^{ab}\pm0.06$	2.58°±0.08	5.41 <sup>b</sup> ±0.13
Cna	3.08 <sup>a</sup> ±0.12	$6.64^{b}\pm0.18$	$0.44^{cd} \pm 0.01$	$2.46^{a}\pm0.05$	$2.46^{\circ}\pm0.06$	$5.36^{bc} \pm 0.08$
NaC	2.79 <sup>a</sup> ±0.20	5.90°±0.20	$0.42^{d} \pm 0.01$	$2.48^{a}\pm0.08$	2.53 <sup>c</sup> ±0.07	$5.44^{b}\pm0.10$
naC	$3.18^{a}\pm0.21$	6.66 <sup>b</sup> ±0.19	$0.43^{d} \pm 0.011$	$2.25^{bc} \pm 0.042$	$2.42^{c}\pm 0.058$	5.11 <sup>c</sup> ±0.07
NaNa	2.89 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	6.63 <sup>b</sup> ±0.33	$0.49^{ab} \pm 0.013$	$2.52^{a}\pm0.05$	3.01 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06	6.03 <sup>a</sup> ±0.09
Nana	2.73 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$6.67^{b} \pm 0.20$	$0.49^{abc} \pm 0.01$	$2.40^{ab}\pm0.05$	$2.89^{ab} \pm 0.05$	$5.66^{b} \pm 0.08$
nana	$2.66^{a} \pm 0.12$	8.35 <sup>a</sup> ±0.25	$0.48^{a} \pm 0.01$	$2.24^{cb} \pm 0.03$	$2.77^{b}\pm0.05$	$5.64^{b} \pm 0.06$
Prop.	N.S	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01

<sup>a-f</sup> Means within the same column with the same letters did not significantly differ.

Dressed Carcass weight and percentage: The crossbred (NaC) chicks recorded the highest dressing percentage (70.22 %), while the lowest dressing percentage was recorded for nana (67.63 %). The other genotypes were recorded an intermediate percentage (Table 8). The differences between genotypes were highly significant (P<0.01). These results were in full agreement with Abdellatif (1989)who found that local Dandarawi chicken had inferior carcass traits than broiler types. The increase in carcass percentage of Cobb (CC) broiler and crossbred chicks

attributed to the rapid growth and weight gain of the broiler as a hybrid strains, Ellen *et al.*, (1973). When the dressing percentage was compared between the Na genotypes and the pure normal feathering (nana) genotype, the superiority of the former one was observed. The higher meat yield due to the presence of Na gene is well established (Deeb and Cahaner, 1999; Yalcin *et al.*, 1999; Fathi and Galal, 2001 and Fathi *et al.*, 2008).

<u>Cut up parts</u>: The Cobb purebred broiler chicks recorded the highest major Pectorals muscle percentage compared to other genotypes (NaNa, Nana and nana) and the crosses were intermediate. Differences between genotypes were highly significant (Table 8). Similar trend was observed for the minor pectorals muscle. Also, the statistical analvsis showed highly significant differences (P<0.01) between genotypes in thigh muscle percentage where the Cobb (CC) purebred chicks recorded the highest thigh percentage. However, there was no significant differences between purebred crosses and reciprocal crosses for the relative drumstick muscle percentages (Table 8).

Generally, it could be concluded from the present experiment and several other studies that the increased percentage of edible muscles related to Na genotypes might be due to the availability of higher levels dietary protein for muscle development with less protein requirement for plumage development (Merat, 1990). Fathi et al., (2008) observed significantly higher breast yields in naked neck genotypes under high ambient temperatures. Similarly, better meat yield in naked neck genotypes was also reported by Yalcin et al.. (1997a).

C	nypes.				
Geno-	Thigh	Drum	Pectorals	Pectorals	Dressing
type	muscles	muscles	Major	Minor	(%)
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
CC	$3.87^{a}\pm0.0$	$3.09^{ab} \pm 0.$	$4.77^{a}\pm0.$	$1.92^{a}\pm0.0$	$70.06^{a}$
CC .	7	07	10	9	$\pm 0.67$
CNa	$3.74^{ab}\pm 0.$	$3.10^{ab} \pm 0.$	$4.14^{\circ}\pm0.$	$1.62^{cb} \pm 0.$	$70.10^{a} \pm 0.2$
CINA	05	05	08	03	8
Cna	$3.66^{ab} \pm 0.$	$3.07^{ab} \pm 0.$	$4.00^{\circ}\pm0.$	$1.52^{cd} \pm 0.$	$70.06^{a} \pm 0.4$
Clia	04	05	01	03	3
NaC	$3.69^{ab} \pm 0.$	$3.08^{ab} \pm 0.$	$4.48^{b}\pm0.$	$1.72^{b}\pm0.0$	$70.22^{a}\pm0.4$
NaC	05	03	14	7	1
naC	$3.66^{b} \pm 0.0$	$3.05^{ab}\pm0.$	$4.18^{\circ}\pm0.$	$1.54^{cd} \pm 0.$	$68.99^{ab} \pm 0.$
nac	4	09	07	03	37
NaNa	$3.73^{ab} \pm 0.$	$3.17^{a}\pm0.0$	$3.66^{d} \pm 0.$	$1.48^{cd} \pm 0.$	$69.95^{a} \pm 0.3$
Inaina	06	5	05	02	0
Nana	$3.83^{ab}\pm0.$	$3.22^{a}\pm0.0$	$3.64^{d} \pm 0.$	$1.45^{d}\pm0.0$	$69.45^{a}\pm0.7$
Inalla	04	3	04	1	6
		$2.99^{b}\pm0.0$	$3.54^{d}\pm0.$	$1.42^{d} \pm 0.0$	$67.63^{b} \pm 0.6$
nana	$3.43^{\circ}\pm0.0$	3	06	2	6
	4				
Prop	0.01	N.S	0.01	0.01	0.01
a-d x r		1	1.1 .1	1	1.1

Table (8): Edible meat parts percentage ( $\overline{X} \pm S.E$ ) of the different genotypes

<sup>a-d</sup> Means within the same column with the same letters did not significantly different.

#### Acknowledgments

# Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 43(4) September (75-90)

This experiment could not have been done without providing us with the broiler parents from El-Watania Company, Cairo.

# **References:**

- Abdellatif, M. A.,(1989). Genetic study on Dandarawi chickens II. Heritability of live and carcass measurements. Poult. Abst., 15: 249.
- Abdellatif, M. A., A. Bordas and P. Mérat, (1987). Genotype diet interaction in Fayoumi and Rhode Island Red layers and their crosses. Génét. Sél. Evol., 19: 267-274.
- Abd El-Rahman, A,(1998). Effect of naked neck gene (Na) on growth and carcass measurements in local Sharkasi males under Assiut conditions. Egyptian J.Anim. Prod. 35 Suppl. Issue. Dec. (1998): 315-331.
- Abd El-Rahman, A, (2000).
  Evaluation of Egg Production Performance of the Naked Neck Chickens (Sharkasi) Under Long-Term Heat Stress Conditions. Assiut J. of Agric., Sci, Vol. 31,(4). 269-289.
- Ali, K.O., A.M. Katule and O. Syrstad, (2000). Genotype X Environment interaction for growing chickens: Comparison of four genetic groups on two rearing systems under tropical condition. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A-Animal Sciences, 50: 65-71.
- Bordas, A., Mérat, P., Serggent, D. and Ricard, F. H. (1978). Influence du gene Na (Counu) sur la croissance, la consummation alimentaive et al. composition corporelle du poulet selon la temperature

ambient. Ann. Genet. Sel. Anim, 10 (2), 209-231.

- Bordas, A., Monnet, L.E.; and Merat,P.,(1980).Gene" Conu" performances de ponte et efficacite alimantaire selon la temperature chez la poule. Ann. Genet. Sel. Anim. 12: 343-361.
- Cahaner, A., Deeb, N. and Gutman, M. (1993). Effects of the plumage reducing Naked Neck (Na) gene on the performance of fast growing broilers at normal and high ambient temperatures. Poult. Sci. 72, 767–775.
- Cahaner, A., Yunis, R. and Deeb, N. (1994). Genetics of feathering and heat tolerance in broilers. Proceedings of the 9th European Poultry Conference, Glasgow, UK, pp. 67—70.
- Chhabrad, A.D. and Sapra K.L. (1973). Growth, mortality and carcass quality traits of indigenous and exotic purebreeds and their crosses. Indian Vet. J., 50: 1007.
- Deeb, N. and Cahaner, A. (1999). The effects of the naked neck genotypes, ambient temperature, and feeding status and their interactions on body temperature and performance of broilers. Poult. Sci., 78: 1341-1346.
- Duncan, D. B., 1955. Multiple range and multiple F- tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Ellen, L. B., D. E. Bigbee, and J.W. Charles, (1973). Strain influences on broiler parts

yields. Poult. Sci., 52: 1517-1523.

- Fathi, M. M., El-Attar, A. H., Ali, U. M. andNazmi, A.(2008). Effect of the naked neck gene on carcass composition and immunocompetence in chicken', British Poult. Sci., 49: 2, 103-110
- Fathi, M.M., Galal, A. (2001). Assessing the combined effects of naked neck and crest genes on growth performance and meat yield of chicken under high ambient temperature. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 21:319-338.
- Fathi, M.M., Zein El-Dein, A., El-Attar, A. H. and Ayoub, H. (1993). Seasonal variations in feed consumption and conversion as affected by naked neck gene. Ann. of Agric. Sci. Cairo. 2 special issu, 669 – 678.
- Galal, A. (2008). Immunocompetance and some heamatological parameters of Naked neck and normally feathered chicken. J. Poult. Sci. 45:89-95.
- Galal, A. and Fathi, M.M. (2001). Improving carcass yield of chicken by introducing naked neck and frizzle genes under hot prevailing conditions. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 21:339-362.
- Geraert, P.A., Padilha, J.C.F. and Guillaumin. S., 1996. Metabolic and endocrine changes induced by chronic heat exposure in broiler chickens:Growth performance, body composition and energy

retention. British Journal of Nutrition, 75, 195–204

- Gursu, M. F.,Onderci, M., Gulcu, F. and Sahi, K., (2004). Effects of vitamin C and folic acid supplementation on serum paraoxonase activity and metabolites induced by heat stress in vivo. Nutritional Research, 24, 157–164.
- Horst, P. and Rauen, H.W. (1986). Significance of the naked-neck (Na-gene) in poultry breeding in the tropics. Proc. 7th Eur. Poult. Conf., Paris, 191-195.
- Luger, Y.D., Cahaner, A., Dotan, M., Rusal, M. and Hurwitz,S. (1998). Thermoregulation in naked neck chickens subjected to different ambient temperatures. Br. Poult. Sci. 39:133-138.
- Mahmoud, T.H., Y. H. Madkour, I. F. Sayed, and K. M. Harirah, (1974a). "Matrouh" a new breed of chickens. Agric. Res. Rev., Cairo. 52: 87-96.
- Mahmoud, T. H., Y. H. Madkour, I. F. Sayed, and K. M. Harirah, (1974b). The "Golden Montazah" a new variety of chickens. Agric., Rev., Cairo. 52: 51-60.
- Makled, M.N, and A. Abd El-Rahman (2006). Influence of Naked Neck Gene (Na) And Dietary Protein Level On Growth Performance And Carcass Parts And Composition Of Male Sharkasi Chickens. Assiut J. of Agric Sci,Vol. 37, (1): 67-84.

- Mérat,P.(1986).Potential usefulness of the Na (naked neck) gene in poultry production. World's Poult. Sci. J. 42:124-142.
- Merat, P. (1990). Pleiotropic and associated effects of major genes. In: Crawford, R.D. (ed.) Poultry Breeding and Genetics.Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 429–467.
- Padhi, M.K., (2010). Production benefits of the crossbreeding of indigenous and nonindegenous ducks- growing and laying period body weights and production performance. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 42, 1395–1403.
- Rajkumar, U, M. R. Reddy, S. V. Rama Rao, K. Radhika and M.Shanmugam(2011). Evaluation of Growth, Carcass, Immune Response and Stress Parameters in Naked Neck Chicken and Their Normal Siblings under Tropical Winter and Summer Temperatures. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.Vol.24,No.4: 509-516
- Rajkumar, U., B. L. N. Reddy, K.
  S. Rajaravindra, M. Niranjan,
  T. K. Bhattacharya, R. N.
  Chatterjee, A. K. Panda, M.
  R. Reddy and R. P. Sharma (2010a). Effect of Naked neck gene on Immune competence, Serum biochemical and Carcass traits in chicken under tropical climate.
  Asian-Aust.J. Anim. Sci. 23: 867-872.
- Rajkumar, U.,K. S. Rajaravindra, M. Niranjan, B. L. N. Reddy,

T. K. Bhattacharya, R. N. Chatterjee and R. P. Sharma (2010b).Evaluation of Naked neck broiler genotypes under tropical environment. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 80:463-466.

- Raju, M.V.L.N., Shyam Sunderm, G., Chawak, M.M., Rama Rao. S.V. and V.R.(2004). Sadagopan, Response of naked neck (Nana) and normal(nana)broiler chickens to dietary energy levels in a subtropical climate.Br. Poult. Sci. 45:186-193.
- Saadey, S. Mekky, A. Galal1, H.I. Zaky and A. Zein El-Dein1(2008).Diallel Crossing Analysis for Body Weight and Egg Production Traits of Two Native Egyptian and Two Exotic Chicken Breeds. International Journal of Poultry Science 7 (1): 64-71.
- SAS institute. (2009). User's Guide Version, 9.2, 2002-2009, SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Shalash, S. M., (1977). Effect of crossing on growth rate in chickens. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shaams Univ., Cairo., Egypt.
- Sharma, D.P.; Acharaya, R.M. and Jistendra Kumar. (1971).
  Collection and evaluation of native fowl germ plasma. IV.
  Comparative studies on body weights and carcass yield of Rhode Island Red, indigenous (Desi) and their reciprocal crosses. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 14: 185 – 191.

- Shit, N.K., Ghosh, N. and Senapati, P.K., (2010). Combining ability effects for important broiler traits of Japanese quail(Coturnix coturnix japonica), Indian Journal of Animal Reserch, 44, 127-130
- Singh, B., D. Choudhuri, P. Chandra, S. Malik and B.P. Singh, (1996). Effect of naked neck gene on broiler performance of two populations in tropical climate. Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Prodction, (WCGALP,96), Australia, pp: 361-364.
- Somes, R.G. (1988). International registry of poultry genetic stocks. Bulletin 476, Storrs Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Connecticut, Storrs.
- Teeter, R.G., Smith, M.O., Owens, F.N., Arp, S.C., Sangiah, S. and Breazile, J.E. (1985). Chronic heat stress and respiratory alkalosis: occurrence and treatment in broiler chicks. Poult. Sci. 64, 1060– 1064.
- Tibin, I.M. and Mohamed, K.A. (1990). The effect of breed and dietary protein level on the performance and carcass characteristics of exotic meat- type strain and Sudanese native chickens(1) relationship of feed intake, live weight, feed conversion ratio and mortality with breed and

dietary protein level. Sud. J. Vet. Sci. Anim. Husb., 29 (1) 13 -23.

- Touchburn, S.P., J. Guillaume, B. Leclerca and J.C. Blum. (1980). Lipid and energy metabolism in chicks affected by dwarfism (dw) and naked neck (Na). Poult. Sci., 59:2189-2197.
- Washburn, K.W., El-Gendy, E. and Eberhart, D.E. (1992). Influence of body weight and response to a heat stress environment. Proceedings of the 19th World's Poultry Congress, Amsterdam, Vol.2, pp. 53–56.
- Yalcin, S., Ozkan, S., Acikgoz, Z. and Ozkan, K. (1999). Effect of dietary methionine on performance, carcass characteristics and breast meat composition of heterozygous naked neck (Na/na) birds under spring and summer conditions. British Poult. Sci., 40: 688–694.
- Yalcin, S., Settar, P., Ozkan, S. and Cahaner, A. (1997a) Comparative evaluation of three commercial broiler stocks in hot versus temperate climates. Poult. Sci. 76, 921–929.
- Yalcin, S., Testik, A., Ozkan, S., Settar, P., Celen, F. and Cahaner, A. (1997b). Performance of naked-neck and normal broilers in hot, warm, and temperate climates. Poult. Sci. 76, 930–937.

Abd El-Fattah et al. 2012

**الأقلمة الإنتاجية للدجاج عاري الرقبة تحت الظروف شبة الأستوائية** مصطفي جلال عبد الفتاح ، أسعد السيد عبد الرحمن، محمود علي عبد النبي قسم الانتاج الحيواني والدواجن – كلية الزراعة – جامعة اسيوط

يعتبر الخلط وسيلة بسيطة لتحسين الأداء الإنتاجي للطيور والتي تعتمد علي قوة الهجين. إستخدم في هذه الدراسة الشكل المظهري كوسيلة لتحسين إنتاج اللحم في الـدجاج المحلي عن طريق الخلط بين آباء وأمهات دجاج اللحم من سلالة (COb)(CC) مع الـدجاج الشركسي الخليط (Nana) . تم إستخدامهم كمصدر للحصول علي البيض المخصب من جميع التزواجات الممكنة بين السلالاتين و الحصول علي كل من التراكيب النقية وهي (CC, NaNa, Nana,nana) و الخلطان(CNa, Cna) وكذلك الخلطان العكسية (CNa, Cna). الكتاكيت الناتجة التراكيب الوراثية المختلفة تم ترقيمها وتربيتها في عشش أرضية تحت الطروف النبائح. تم قياس وتسجيل معدل النمو وكمية العلف المستهلكة في عمر يوم – ۲-الرعائية المبوع من العمر وذلك لتقيم أداء النمو. وفي نهاية التجربة علي النمو وصفات الببائح. تم قياس وتسجيل معدل النمو وكمية العلف المستهلكة في عمر يوم – ۲-أسبيع تم ذبح ٤٠ طائر من كل تركيب وراثي وأظهرت النتائج ما يلي:

١- أن تأثير التركيب الوراثى علي وزن الجسم كان معنوي جداً. في عمر ٨ أسابيع وسلالة NaC كان لها أعلي وزن جسم (١٧٧٥.٢ جرام) يليه الخلطان NaC ،
 ٢٩٥.٣، ١٢٢٩.٣ ، 1232.1 ، ٩٥.٣٠ و ١٠٢١.٤ جرام) علي الترتيب ثم يلي ذلك في الوزن nana ، Nana و ١٩٨.٩ (٦٨٠.٩ و ٦٢٧.٥ و ٦٨٠.٩)

٢- أظهرت كفاءة التحويل الغذائي للطيور Cobb إرتفاعاً معنوياً يلي ذلك الطيور الخلطان ثم طيور الشركسي.

٣- أظهرت الطيور الطبيعية الترييش من السلالات المحلية nana أقل نسبة تصافي بالمقارنة بالتراكيب الوراثية الأخري. كما أن طيور Cobb كان لها أعلي نسبة من العضلات الصدرية الصغري والكبري والفخذ والدبوس مقارنة بباقي التراكيب الوراثية المحلية.