# PEDIGREE SELECTION FOR YIELD IN GRAIN SORGHUM POPULATION, [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]

Ali H. I<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Ali<sup>2</sup> and K. M. Mahmoud<sup>1</sup>

1. Grain sorghum Dep. Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research

Center, Egypt .

2. Dept. of Agronomy, Fac., of Agric., South Valley Univ., Qena, Egypt.

Abstract: Two cycles of pedigree selection method for grain yield/plant were conducted on a segregating population (TP24D) of grain sorghum in the  $F_3$  generation . Selection was practiced at Shandaweel, Agric. Res. Center in 2002 and 2003 summer seasons. After the second cycle, the selected families were evaluated at Shandaweel (Sohag), Agric. Res.. Station, Sohag (optimal environment) and Qena (sub-optimal environment), South Valley University Experiment Farm, Faculty of Agric. After two cycles of pedigree selection showed 5.87 and 3.72% increase in grain yield/plant over the bulk sample at Sohag and Qena, respectively, with an average of 4.98% over the two locations Selection for grain yield/plant was accompanied by decrease in days to 50% flowering of -7.43, -6.66% and -7.03 %, increase in 1000-grain weight of 4.27, 5.70 and 4.89% over the bulk sample at Sohag, Oena and across locations, respectively.

Synergistic selection for grain yield/plant under optimal environment (Sohag) was better than the antagonistic one under sub-optimal environment (Qena). Sufficient genotypic coefficient of variability for grain yield/plant and other studied traits was observed .Phenotypic and genotypic variances expressed as pcv% and gcv% slightly decreased after the second cycle of selection in 1000-grain weight and days to 50% flowering compared to the first cycle. However, the variability in plant height was increased by selection for grain yield/plant . Family no. 6 could be considered the best selected family at Oena and across locations Sohag. which showed 44.26, 27.20 and 36.56% increase in yield, and was earlier than the bulk sample 4.44, 4.01 and 4.22% and gave heavier grains than it by 21.25, 11.30 and 16.57%, respectively. These results reflect that the direct selection for grain yield/plant was effective in improving these materials.

Key words: pedigree selection, yield, grain sorghum.

#### Introduction

Grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) is one of the major

cereal crops in the world as well as in Egypt . It is mainly grown in Upper Egypt particularly in Assiut and Sohag governorates and is consumed in bread making, animal feeds and other uses.

Selection for yield is one of the important and difficult most plant breeding challenges of Pedigree selection method can be used to identify superior genotypes for grain yield in a cultivar development program . Pedigree selection for grain vield/plant needs to evaluate selections under series of а environments such as different levels (Chigwe, 1984, of water stress Sankarapandian and Bangarvsamy, 1996 and Ali, 2002); different soils, locations, i.e., (Mahdy et al., 1999) . Dogget (1972) and Soonsuwon (1988) observed an improved yield over the base population after three cycles of selection . Menkir *et al.*(1994). Igaruta et al. (1996) and Mukka and Singh (1996) mentioned that selection was effective in improving grain vield, but it was associated to undesired increase in plant height and late flowering . Mahdy et al. (1999) and Ali (2002) acheived increased grain vield, earlier flowering, shorter plants and heavier grains after two cycles of pedigree selection for grain vield/plant in a segregating grain sorghum population.

The goal of the present study was evaluate mating to а random population carrying genetic male sterility and starting a pedigree selection program for grain yield/plant to isolate elite pure lines to use as parents for the production of commercial hybrids.

### **Materials and Methods**

Field experiment was conducted at the Shandaweel Research Station, Agric. Res. Center, Sohag, Egypt, during the summer growing seasons of 2002 and 2003 and the selections were evaluated at two locations, Sohag (Shandaweel Res. Sta.) and Qena (Agric. Exper. Farm of the South Valley University) in 2004 summer season.

During the summer season of 2002, a random-mating population in  $F_3$  generation (TP24D) introduced from USA was grown in a nursery in unreplicated experiment (100-rows, 4 m long, 60 cm apart, 20 cm between seeds within a row) at the Shandaweel Res. Station . After full emergence, seedlings were thinned to two plants per hill . At anthesis, 400 fertile plants were tagged for pedigree selection for grain yield/plant . At harvest, 20 heads were selected based on grain yield/plant .

The 20 selected families along the bulk random sample (a with mixture of equal number of grains from each of the 400 heads to represent the generation mean) were sown on Jun 15<sup>th</sup> 2003 at Shandaweel Res. Station . The field layout was a randomized complete block design with three replications . Each plot consisted of one row 4 m long, 60 cm apart and 20 cm between hills within a row . After full emergence, seedlings were thinned to two plants per hill . The agricultural practices were carried out as recommended for sorghum production . Days to 50% flowering was recorded on plot mean basis as days from sowing to the day when 50% flowering. At harvest, data were collected on ten random guarded plants in each plot . Measurements were recorded on each plant for the following traits: plant height cm, grain yield/plant gm and 1000-grain weight gm . The highest plant in grain yield was selected from each of the best 10 families selected and saved to form the next season (the second cycle selection).

In 2004 summer season, the selections along with the random bulk sample were evaluated at two locations: Sohag (optimal environment) and Qena (sub- optimal environment), because the soil type at Sohag is loamy (soil PH = 7.8, organic matter =1.15, total N (ppm) =15.0, P(ppm) = 11.0 and K(ppm) =315.0) much more fertile than at Oena sandy loam (soil PH = 8.12, organic matter % =0.35, total N % =0.04, P(ppm) = 9.4, K(meq/100g soil = 0.19and calcium carbonate % was 13.6). Planting dates were Jun 16<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> at Shandaweel and Oena. respectively. The same experimental

design and field procedures were properly applied at the two locations as those of 2003 season.

The analysis of variance and combined analysis were performed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) after carrying out the homogeneity test. Phenotypic and genotypic correlations and heritability in broad sense were calculated as outlined by Walker (1960).

The observed gain as the difference between a mean of the selected families and random bulk sample value and was tested using revised LSD method.

# **Results and Discussion**

## **Base population**

Means and ranges of all studied traits i.e., days to 50% flowering, plant height, grain yield/plant and 1000-grain weight were calculated from 400 fertile plants at Shandaweel Res. Sta. (Sohag) in 2002 season (Table 1). Results revealed wide ranges of these traits, indicating a sizeable genetic gain from selection that could be expected from these materials.

Table(1): Means and ranges of studied traits in the base  $F_3$  population at Sohag, in 2002 season .

Season	2002			
Traits	Means	Range		
Days to 50% flowering (days)	69.83	50.00 - 90.00		
Plant height (cm)	155.52	100.00 - 250.00		
Grain yield/plant (g)	48.20	26.50 - 73.26		
1000-grain weight (g)	18.44	14.34 - 23.70		

#### The first cycle of selection

Highly significant differences were observed among the selected families for grain vield/plant and other correlated traits i.e., days to 50% flowering, plant height and 1000-grain weight (Table 2), reflecting the genetic variability among selected families in these traits. After one cycle of pedigree selection for grain yield/plant, the retained variability in the selection sufficient criterion was and accounted 14 81 and 14 71% for phenotypic(pcv) and genotypic(gcv)

coefficient of variability, respectively. Also, sizeable amount of gcv was found for days to 50% flowering (8.91%), plant height (16.96%) and 1000- grain weight (9.30%). High estimates of heritability in broad sense were obtained for all studied traits. indicating that the environmental effects were small compared to the genetic effects . These results are in line with those reported by Kumar and Singh (1986), Mahdy et al. (1987) and Mohamed et al. (1999).

Table(2): Mean, mean squares, phenotypic(pcv), genotypic(gcv) coefficient of variability and heritability in broad sense ( $H_b$ ) of the first cycle selections evaluated at Sohag, season 2003.

Trait	Mean		Mean squares					
	$F_4$	Bulk	Reps	Families	Error	pcv	gcv	$H_{b}$
Days to 50% flowering	68.75	78.00	13.55	119.47**	6.90	9.18	8.91	94.22
Plant height (cm)	167.62	181.67	48.17	2494.26**	69.93	17.20	16.96	97.20
Grain yield/plant(g)	55.86	53.89	0.51	205.45**	2.88	14.81	14.71	98.60
1000-grain weight(g)	21.71	20.86	0.20	12.95**	0.72	9.57	9.30	94.44

<sup>\*\*,</sup> Significant at 0.01 level of probability.

Means of the 20 selected families based upon grain yield/plant in this population (Table 3) indicated that the best family in grain yield/plant; Fam. no.9 which outyielded, and was earlier and heavier in grains than the bulk by 46.04, -8.12 and 24.16%, respectively. Ten out of the 20 families outyielded significantly or highly significantly the random bulk sample by 4.53 to 46.04%.

Family No.	Days to 50%	Plant	Grain	1000-grain
	flowering	height(cm)	yield/plant (g)	weight(g)
1	79.33	235.00	60.50**	23.20**
2	76.00	146.67**	59.77**	20.27
3	71.67**	171.67	54.47	21.00
4	65.33**	123.33**	$57.00^*$	21.73
5	$64.00^{**}$	190.00	48.13	$22.13^{*}$
6	73.33*	154.67**	$61.40^{**}$	21.40
7	63.67**	138.33**	$56.70^{*}$	27.07**
8	$58.60^{**}$	138.33**	36.23	20.40
9	71.67**	221.67	$78.70^{**}$	$25.90^{**}$
10	71.33**	165.00**	54.67	19.53
11	57.33**	136.67**	50.67	20.53
12	66.33**	161.67**	45.13	21.77
13	$72.67^{*}$	183.33	$56.80^{*}$	20.23
14	$62.00^{**}$	135.00**	62.87**	21.47
15	77.33	186.00	55.57	$22.10^{*}$
16	67.33**	153.33**	55.23	$24.07^{**}$
17	77.67	185.00	52.70	$22.10^{*}$
18	66.33**	186.67	62.47**	20.87
19	66.67**	171.67	56.33 <sup>*</sup>	20.17
20	67.33**	168.33 <sup>*</sup>	51.93	18.30
$\overline{X}$	68.75	167.62	55.86	21.71
Bulk	78.00	181.67	53.89	20.86
Revised	3.87	12.05	2.41	1.23
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> ***				
Revised	5.09	15.88	3.18	1.62
LSD <sub>0.01</sub> ***				

Table(3): Mean trait values of the selected families of the first cycle of pedigree selection at Sohag season, 2003.

\*,\*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

\*\*\*, Calculated for individual family with bulk .

These families were selected for the second cycle selection (Fam. no. 1,2,4,6,7,9,13,14,18 and 19). Mean grain yield/plant of the 20 families also exceeded significantly the random bulk sample. It should be recalled that selection was practiced depending on grain yield/plant.

The overall mean of days to 50% flowering and plant height were highly significantly earlier and shorter than the bulk sample,

respectively . Mean 1000-grain weight was insignificantly heavier grains than the bulk sample . But, the individual family means showed that 16,11 and 7 families were significantly earlier, shorter and heavier grains than the bulk sample, respectively. The ten selected families for the second cycle based on their grain yield /plant, eight of them were significantly earlier than the bulk sample and 5 and 3 of them were significantly shorter and heavier grains than the bulk sample, respectively. Therefore, selection for grain yield/plant which include

such families may affect the initial genotypic correlations among these traits .

Pedigree selection for grain yield/plant showed an observed gain of 3.66% and 4.07% over the bulk for grain yield/plant and 1000-grain weight, respectively . Furthermore, favourable decrease in plant height (-7.73%) and (-11.86%) in days to 50% flowering were obtained (Table 4 ). These results are in line with those reported by Chigwe (1984), Igarauta *et al.* (1996) and Mahdy *et al.* (1999) .

**Table(4):** Observed gain in pedigree selection measured in percentage fromthe bulk sample after the first cycle at Sohag, season 2003 .

Site of selection	Days to 50%	Plant	Grain	1000-grain
	flowering	height	yield/plant	weight
Sohag	-11.86**	-7.73**	3.66**	4.07**

Grain yield showed low positive genotypic correlations with plant height(0.34) and 1000-grain weight (0.19). However, negative correlation between grain yield and days to 50% flowering (-0.43) were observed (Table 5). These results are in line with those reported by Menkir *et al.* (1994) and Potdukhe *et al.* (1994).

**Table(5):** Phenotypic (above) and genotypic (below diagonal) correlationamong pairs of traits of the selected families of the first cycle atSohag, season 2003 .

Traits	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height	Grain yield/plant	1000-grain weight
Days to 50% flowering	-	0.63	-0.34	-0.03
Plant height	0.54	-	0.35	0.24
Grain yield/plant	-0.43	0.34	-	0.26
1000-grain weight	-0.05	0.22	0.19	-

#### The second cycle of selection.

The ten selected families for grain yield/plant were evaluated in the second cycle of selection at two locations; Sohag and Qena .There were highly significant differences among selected families for grain yield/plant, days to 50% flowering, plant height and 1000-grain weight in the separate and combined analyses (Table 6) . This indicates the existence of sufficient variability for further improvement . Moreover, locations and location x families interaction were highly significant reflecting differential responses of the selected families to edaphic and climatic factors . The highly significant mean squares obtained for families vs. bulk indicated the feasibility of selection for grain yield/plant in this population . These results reflect the importance of evaluating selections under different environments .

**Table(6):** Mean squares of the selected families of the second cycle and bulk sample evaluated at two locations and their combined .

ıt			Mean squares					
oca	S .O .V	df	Days to 50%	Plant height	Grain yield	1000-grain		
Г			flowering		/plant	weight		
	Reps	2	0.03	14.82	0.66	0.20		
	Genotypes	10	46.36**	3221.94**	261.97**	11.76**		
ıag	Sel. families	9	28.37**	3318.06**	286.99**	11.65**		
Soł	Sel. fam.VS. bulk	1	208.23**	2356.87**	36.41**	12.74**		
	Error (genotypes)	20	1.33	27.49	1.78	0.20		
	Error (sel.families)	18	0.81	28.31	1.92	0.30		
	Reps	2	0.85	5.30	1.73	1.53		
	Genotypes	10	34.90**	1420.46**	214.37**	5.21**		
na	Sel. families	9	29.50**	1556.39**	237.04**	2.59**		
Qe	Sel. fam.VS. bulk	1	83.53**	197.10**	10.36**	28.79**		
	Error (genotypes)	20	0.82	23.64	1.27	0.29		
	Error (sel.families)	18	0.69	25.90	1.40	0.31		
	Locations(L)	1	1088.24**	39128.02**	2597.66**	144.33**		
0	Reps/Loc.	4	0.44	10.06	1.20	0.86		
: tw	Genotypes(G)	10	76.85**	4363.00**	422.14**	13.92**		
ovel ons	Sel. families	9	63.82**	4709.34**	465.06**	11.09**		
ed c atic	Sel. fam.VS. bulk	1	194.15**	283.85**	35.87**	39.42**		
bine loc	LxG	10	4.41**	279.34**	51.80**	3.05**		
om	L x Sel. families	9	5.31**	283.85**	58.97**	3.15**		
C	Error (G)	40	1.07	25.56	1.53	0.25		
	Error(sel.families)	36	0.75	27.11	1.66	0.31		

\*,\*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively .

Results in (Table 7) revealed sufficient genotypic coefficient of variability among the ten selected families after two cycles of selection for grain yield which accounted for 14.82, 16.72 and 13.85% at Sohag, Oena and across locations. respectively. Comparing the PCV and GCV of the first and the second cycle selection, it could be noticed slight decrease in 1000-grain weight and davs to 50% flowering.

However, the variability in plant height increased by selection for grain yield/plant . Falconer (1989) stated that the loss of genetic variance should lead to a reduction in phenotypic variance. The phenotypic variance, however, is seldom declined by selection as expected; often it increases . Many increases have been found in many experiments . The possible reasons

**Table(7):** Means, phenotypic(PCV%), genotypic(GCV%) coefficient of variability and heritability in broad sense of the selected families after two cycles of selection .

T = ===4 <sup>1</sup> = ==	Items	Days to 50%	Plant	Grain yield/	1000-grain
Location		flowering	height	plant	weight
	$\bar{X}$ selected families	69.43	160.07	65.78	25.86
ohag	PCV %	4.43	20.78	14.87	7.62
S	GCV %	4.37	20.69	14.82	7.52
	Heritability %	97.15	99.15	99.33	97.42
	$\bar{X}$ selected families	77.47	110.17	53.00	23.01
<b>)</b> ena	PCV %	4.05	20.67	16.77	4.04
0	GCV %	4.00	20.50	16.72	3.79
	Heritability %	97.66	98.33	99.42	88.37
over ons	$\bar{X}$ selected families	73.45	135.12	59.39	24.43
ombined o vo locatio	PCV %	4.44	20.73	14.82	5.57
	GCV %	4.25	20.01	13.85	4.71
Ŭ	Heritability %	91.64	93.97	87.32	71.35

for the phenotypic variance increase or not decrease are : First, the variance of many characters is not independent of the mean; when the mean changes under selection the variance automatically changes as well . Second, the environmental variance mav increase. With fixation. approach to the homozygotes are sometimes more variable from environmental causes than are heterozygotes . And, third, the genetic variance may not decline as expected . The genotypic coefficient of variability across locations (13.85%) was smaller than that at each location. This could be due to that the genotype x location interaction is confounded in the mean squares of families in the separate analysis which inflates the p.c.v. and g.c.v. In consequence the estimates of broad sense heritability were higher in separate analysis than in combined analysis, reflecting the need to evaluate selection under a series of environments to get reliable estimates parameters either of genetic or environmental . Eckebil et al. (1977) Mentioned that broad sense heritability estimates for blooming date, yield and kernel weight were high in all populations. Maves and Akins (1991) found that heritability estimates for grain yield increased from  $C_0$  to  $C_4$ .

Mean of grain yield/plant of the selected families (Table 8) was 65.78, 53.00 and 59.39 g/plant at Sohag, Qena and across locations, respectively. The overall mean masked the great variability of families individual selected performance from location to another. For example, family no. 6 vielded 89.63 g at Sohag and 65.00 g/plant at Oena, indicating genotypes x environment interaction Selection under the optimal environment (Sohag) resulted in five superior families (1, 4, 6, 9 and 13) which outvielded the bulk sample by 4.83, 13.94, 44.26, 5.47 and 8.32%, respectively. Also, selection at the sub-optimal environment (Oena) resulted in eight superior families; i.e., 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 18and 19 which exceeded the bulk sample by 4.83, 8.36, 22.31, 27.20, 4.76, 3.58, 4.31 and 5.42%, respectively. Selection across environments resulted in seven superior families (1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9and 18) which outyielded the bulk sample by 4.82, 2.61, 17.71, 36.56. 2.83, 2.44%, 4.61 and respectively. It is noticed that family No. 6 could be considered the best selected family at Sohag, Qena across environments which and showed 44.26, 27.20, and 36.56% increase in yield, it was earlier than the bulk sample by 4.44, 4.01 and 4.22% and was heavier in grains than it by 21.25, 11.30 and 16.57%, respectively . Highly significant improvement after two cycles of selection was obtained in days to 50% flowering towards earliness and 1000-grain weight as correlated traits compared to the bulk sample at Sohag, Qena and across locations.

Such improvement in grain yield/plant which accompanied with favourable improvements in earliness and grain weight indicates that the population have a good and favourable associations among grain yield and correlated traits.

The observed gains for grain yield/plant (Table 9) accounted for 5.87, 3.72 and 4.89% from the bulk sample when selection was practiced at Sohag, Qena and across environments This that selection under indicates optimal environment was the best and the antagonistic selection was less one. Two cycles of pedigree selection for increasing grain vield/plant (Table 8) also increased plant height and 1000-grain weight The increase in 1000- grain weight accounted 4.27, 5.70 and 4.89% from the bulk sample when selection was practiced at Sohag. Oena and across environments. respectively. However, respective decreases were observed for days to 50% flowering which reached -7.43, -6.66 and -7.03%. It could be concluded that pedigree selection for grain yield/plant was with accompanied favourable effects on the correlated traits: days to 50% flowering and 1000grain weight. Unfavourable increase in plant height over the bulk sample accompanied pedigree selection for grain yield/plant at Sohag (15.72%), Qena (8.36%) and across environments(12.60%).

Genotypic correlation coefficients over the two locations(Table 10) were increased after two cycles of selection compared to the first cycle between grain yield/plant and days to 50% flowering (-0.02 and -0.43), plant height (0.46 and 0.34) and 1000-grain weight (0.51 and 0.19), for the second and first cycle, respectively . Grain vield/plant showed positive genotypic correlation coefficients with plant height and 1000-grain weight and negative with days to flowering . Mahdy et al. 50% (1982) found that grain yield/plant showed positive significant and phenotypic genotypic correlations with each of 1000kernel weight and plant height and negative with days to flowering in two vears. Saadalla (1983)reported that positive and significant correlation between grain yield and 1000-kernel weight . Potdukhe et al. (1994) showed that grain yield was positively and significantly correlated with 100grain weight.

	selection at Sohag, Qena and across two locations in 2004 season					
Location	Family	Days to 50%	Plant	Grain yield/	1000-grain	
Location	No.	flowering	height	plant	weight	
	1	76.00	222.33	65.13 <sup>*</sup>	$28.00^{**}$	
	2	$71.00^{**}$	135.00	60.83	25.77**	
	4	70.67**	138.33	70.79 <sup>**</sup>	25.17	
	6	71.67**	215.00	89.63**	30.07**	
	7	$66.00^{**}$	145.00	62.90	23.03	
	9	66.67**	175.00	65.53**	25.20	
lag	13	70.00**	155.00	67.30**	25.13	
Sol	14	71.33**	155.00	51.50	24.77	
	18	68.00**	128.33*	62.70	24.70	
	19	63.00**	131.67	61.53	26.67**	
	$\overline{x}$	69.43**	160.07	$65.78^{**}$	$25.86^{**}$	
	Bulk	75.00	138.33	62.13	24.80	
	Revised LSD 0.05	1.70	7.75	1.97	0.66	
	Revised LSD 0.01	2.27	10.32	2.63	0.88	
	1	83.00	155.0	53.57**	24.43**	
	2	$77.00^{**}$	101.67	55.37**	23.97**	
	4	$78.00^{**}$	105.00	$62.50^{**}$	22.23	
	6	79.67**	145.00	$65.00^{**}$	24.23**	
	7	$75.00^{**}$	95.00	53.53**	22.17	
	9	73.00**	115.00	52.93 <sup>*</sup>	23.20**	
na	13	76.00**	110.00	48.00	21.77	
Qe	14	$80.00^{**}$	95.00	31.91	22.53	
	18	79.33**	$85.00^{**}$	$53.30^{*}$	23.03**	
	19	73.67**	95.00	53.87**	22.53	
	$\overline{x}$	77.47**	110.17	53.00**	23.01**	
	Bulk	83.00	101.67	51.10	21.77	
	Revised LSD 0.05	1.34	7.19	1.67	0.81	
	Revised LSD 0.01	1.78	9.57	2.22	1.09	
	1	79.50	188.67	59.35**	$26.22^{**}$	
	2	$74.00^{**}$	118.33	$58.10^{*}$	24.87**	
su	4	74.33**	121.67	66.65**	23.70	
atio	6	75.67**	180.00	77.32**	27.15**	
000	7	$70.50^{**}$	120.00	$58.22^{*}$	22.60	
/o1	9	69.83**	145.00	59.23**	$24.20^{**}$	
r tw	13	73.00**	132.50	57.65	23.45	
Ievel	14	75.67**	125.00	41.71	23.65	
ор	18	73.67**	106.67**	58.00*	23.87*	
ine	19	68.33**	113.33*	57.70	24.60**	
dmr	$\overline{x}$	73.45**	135.12	59.39**	24.43**	
č	Bulk	79.00	120.00	56.62	23.29	
	Revised LSD 0.05	1.05	5.14	1.26	0.51	
	Revised LSD 0.01	1.39	6.77	1.66	0.67	

**Table(8):** Mean traits of the ten selected families after two cycles of selection at Sohag, Qena and across two locations in 2004 season

\*,\*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively .

Site of	Days to 50%	Plant	Grain yield/	1000-grain
Evaluation	flowering	height	plant	weight
Sohag	-7.43**	15.72**	5.87**	4.27**
Qena	-6.66**	8.36**	3.72**	5.70**
Combined	-7.03**	12.60**	4.89**	4.89**
Sohag and Qena				

Table(9): Observed gain of pedigree selection measured in percentage from
the bulk sample after two cycles of selection.

\*,\*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively .

**Table(10):** Phenotypic (above) and genotypic (below diagonal) correlationsamong pairs of traits over two locations after the second cycle ofselection in 2004 season .

Traits	Days to 50%	Plant	Grain yield/	1000-grain
	flowering	height	Plant	weight
Days to 50% flowering	-	0.43	0.01	0.15
Plant height	0.42	-	0.49	0.76
Grain yield/Plant	-0.02	0.46	-	0.53
1000-grain weight	0.13	0.73	0.51	-

# References

- Ali, H. I. 2002 . Selection for drought tolerance in two segregating populations of grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) .
  Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agrc. Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Chigwe, C.F.B. 1984 . Quantitative and morphological characteristics of NP 9BR random mating

population of sorghum after nine of selection. Dissertation Abstracts International, B (Sciences and Engineering) 45 (2) 419 B (Computer search).

- Dogget, H. 1972 . Recurrent selection in sorghum population . Heredity .28:9-29.
- Eckebil, J. P., W.M. Ross, C. O. Gardner and J.W. Marnville .

1977. Heritability estimates, genetic correlation and predicted gains from  $S_1$  progeny testes in three grain sorghum random mating populations . Crop Sci. 17 : 373-377

- Falconer, D.S. 1989 .Introduction to Quantitative Genetics . Hong Kong, London .
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research . John Wiley & Sons . Inc., New York, USA .
- Igartua, E.; M.P. Gracia and J. M. Lasia.1996.selection for increasing yield under limited irrigation for grain sorghum random-mating populations . Maydica 41 : 149 154 . (Computer Search) .
- Kumar, R. and P. K Singh . 1986 .
  Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) .
  Farm. Science Journal . 1 : 1-2 .
- Mahdy, E. E.; E. E. M. El-Orong; O. O. El-Nagoly and H.I. Ali . 1999 .
  Response to pedigree selection for grain yield in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) in two environments . Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 30 : 13 26 .
- Mahdy, E.E., B. R. Bakheit and H.H. El-Hinnawy . 1987 . Expected and realized gain from S1 and S2 selections in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) . Assiut J. of Agric. Sci. 18 : 118-130 .

- Mahdy, E.E., E.A. Hassaballa, M. A. El-Morshidy and M. A. Kalifa . 1982 . Variance components, predicted genetic advance to selection, genotypic and phenotypic correlations in grain sorghum . Assiut J. of Agric. Sci. 13 : 226-234 .
- Maves, A.J. and E.R. Atkins . 1991 . Estimates of quantitative genetic parameters in IAP2B (M) randommating sorghum population . JIAS, Journal of the lowa Academy of Science 98 : 139-144 .
- Menkir, A.; P. J. Bramel and M. D. Witt. 1994 . Selection for ergonomically acceptable inbred lines in adapted exotic sorghum backcross populations . Crop Sci., 34 : 1084 – 1088 .
- Mohamed, A. B., A.M. Badrelden and A. G. Ibrahim . 1999 . Evaluation of advanced generation selections from a random mating population of sorghum with respect to variability, heritability and correlation of yield components . Journal of Agric. 2 : 15-18 .
- Mukka, F.P. and P. Singh . 1996 . Selection gains in pearl millet composites in Zambia . ICRISAT Regional Sorghum and Pearl Millet Workshop . Patanchcru, (India) . ICRISAT . P . 145-152 (Computer search) .
- Potdukhe, N.R., V.B. Shekar, S.G. Thote, S.S. Wangari and R.W.Ingle. 1994 . Estimates of genetic parameters, correlation

coefficients and path analysis in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) . Crop Research 7 : 402-406 .

- Saadalla, M. M. 1983 . Studies on variability in grain sorghum .M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt .
- Sankarapandian, R. and U. Bangarvsamy . 1996 . Stability of sorghum genotypes for certain physiological characters and yield under water stress conditions . Crop Improvement 23 : 61-65 .
- Soonsuwon, W. 1988 . Comparisons of selection methods for the improvement of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) random-mating population . M.Sc. in Agric. Theses, Kasetsart Univ., Bangkok, Thailand, 80 pp. (Computer Search).
- Walker, J. T. 1960 . The use of selection index technique in the analysis of progeny row data .Emp. Cotton Gr. Rev. 37 : 81 – 107.

الانتخاب المنسب لمحصول الحبوب في عشيرة من الذرة الرفيعة حاتم إبراهيم على <sup>1</sup> و محد احمد على<sup>2</sup> وخالد محد محمود<sup>1</sup> 1- قسم الذرة الرفيعة – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية- مركز البحوث الزراعية-مصر . 2- قسم المحاصيل – كلية الزراعة بقنا- جامعة جنوب الوادي- مصر .

تم أجراء دورتين من الانتخاب المنسب لصفة محصول الحبوب للنبات الفردي في عشيرة انعز الية من الجيل الثالث إلى الجيل الخامس في ذرة الحبوب الرفيعة . تم تنفيذ الانتخاب في عامي 2002 و 2003 بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بشندويل – مركز البحوث الزراعية بسوهاج وبعد دورتين من الانتخاب تم تقييم العائلات المنتخبة عام 2004 في منطقتين هما سوهاج ومزرعة كلية الزراعة بقنا – جامعة جنوب الوادي . أظهرت دورتين من الانتخاب المنسب زيادة في وزن على التوالي بينما كانت الزيادة على مستوى المنطقتين في المنسب زيادة في وزن على التوالي بينما كانت الزيادة على مستوى المنطقتين 4.0% و ولقد صحب الانتخاب لمحصول الحبوب للنبات الفردي تبكيرا في التزهير بمقدار 7.43 ، 6.66 و 7.03 % وكذلك زيادة في وزن الألف حبة بمقدار 4.27 ، 5.70 و 4.8% لكل من سوهاج وقنا وعلى مستوى البيئتين مقارنة بالعينة العشوائية على التوالي .

وكان الانتخاب المتوافق لمحصول الحبوب للنبات الفردي تحت البيئة المثلي (سوهاج) أفضل من الانتخاب المتضاد تحت البيئة تحت المثلي (قنا) . وأشارت تقديرات معاملي الاختلاف المظهري والوراثي إلى وجود اختلافات مرضية لصفة محصول الحبوب للنبات الفردي والصفات الأخرى المدروسة . وبعد الدورة الثانية انخفض طفيفا كلا من التباين المظهري والوراثي والمعبر عنهما بمعاملي الاختلاف المظهري والوراثي لصفتي وزن الألف حبة والتزهير مقارنة بالدورة الأولى . بمعاملي الاختلاف المظهري والوراثي لصفتي وزن الألف حبة والتزهير مقارنة بالدورة الأولى . بينما زادت هذة الاختلافات لصفة ارتفاع النبات بالانتخاب لصفة محصول الحبوب للنبات الفردي د يوتعتبر العائلة رقم 6 أفضل عائلة منتخبة في كل من سوهاج وقنا و على مستوى الموقعين حيث أظهرت زيادة عن العينة العشوائية قدر ها 44.26 ، 27.20 و36.56% في المحصول وأبكرت عنة بمقدار 4.44 ، 10.16 و 4.22% وأعطت زيادة في وزن الحبوب عن العينة العشوائية بمقدار المهروب للنبات الفردي كان فعالا في وهذه التائج توضح أن الانتخاب المباشر لمحصول الحبوب للنبات المرادي وأبعا هذا التوالي . وهذه النتائج توضح أن الانتخاب المباشر لمحصول الحبوب للنبات المرادي الموائية بعسوائية بمقدار